Medical Policy

Catheter Ablation as Treatment for Atrial Fibrillation
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Policy

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity Medicare HMO Blue℠ and Medicare PPO Blue℠ Members

Transcatheter radiofrequency ablation or cryoablation to treat atrial fibrillation may be MEDICALLY NECESSARY as a treatment for either of the following indications which have failed to respond to adequate trials of antiarrhythmic medications:
• Symptomatic paroxysmal or symptomatic persistent atrial fibrillation, or
• As an alternative to atroventricular nodal ablation and pacemaker insertion in patients with class II or III congestive heart failure and symptomatic atrial fibrillation.

Transcatheter radiofrequency ablation or cryoablation to treat atrial fibrillation may be considered MEDICALLY NECESSARY as an initial treatment for patients with recurrent symptomatic paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (>1 episode, with 4 or fewer episodes in the previous 6 months) in whom a rhythm-control strategy is desired.

Up to 3 repeat radiofrequency ablations or cryoablations may be considered MEDICALLY NECESSARY in patients with recurrence of atrial fibrillation and/or development of atrial flutter following the initial procedure.

Transcatheter radiofrequency ablation or cryoablation to treat atrial fibrillation is considered INVESTIGATIONAL as a treatment for cases of atrial fibrillation that do not meet the criteria outlined above.

Transcatheter treatment of atrial fibrillation may include pulmonary vein isolation and/or focal ablation.
Prior Authorization Information

Inpatient
- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization IS REQUIRED for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

Outpatient
- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization might be required if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Description</th>
<th>Outpatient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)</td>
<td>Prior authorization is not required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial PPO and Indemnity</td>
<td>Prior authorization is not required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare HMO Blue℠</td>
<td>Prior authorization is not required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare PPO Blue℠</td>
<td>Prior authorization is not required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member’s contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

The following codes are included below for informational purposes only; this is not an all-inclusive list.

The above medical necessity criteria MUST be met for the following codes to be covered for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPT codes:</th>
<th>Code Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>93656</td>
<td>Comprehensive electrophysiologic evaluation including transseptal catheterizations, insertion and repositioning of multiple electrode catheters with induction or attempted induction of an arrhythmia including left or right atrial pacing/recording when necessary, right ventricular pacing/recording when necessary, and His bundle recording when necessary with intracardiac catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation by pulmonary vein isolation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93657</td>
<td>Additional linear or focal intracardiac catheter ablation of the left or right atrium for treatment of atrial fibrillation remaining after completion of pulmonary vein isolation (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following ICD Diagnosis Codes are considered medically necessary when submitted with the CPT codes above if medical necessity criteria are met:

ICD-10 Diagnosis Codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICD-10-CM Diagnosis codes:</th>
<th>Code Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I48.0</td>
<td>Paroxysmal atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I48.3</td>
<td>Typical atrial flutter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I48.4</td>
<td>Atypical atrial flutter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I48.91</td>
<td>Unspecified atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I48.92</td>
<td>Unspecified atrial flutter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I50.20</td>
<td>Unspecified systolic (congestive) heart failure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Description

#### Atrial Fibrillation

AF is the most common cardiac arrhythmia, with an estimated prevalence of 0.4% of the population, increasing with age. The underlying mechanism of AF involves the interplay between electrical triggering events and the myocardial substrate that permits propagation and maintenance of the aberrant electrical circuit. The most common focal trigger of AF appears to be located within the cardiac muscle that extends into the pulmonary veins.

AF can be subdivided into three types: paroxysmal, persistent, and permanent. AF accounts for approximately one-third of hospitalizations for cardiac rhythm disturbances. Symptoms of AF (eg, palpitations, decreased exercise tolerance, dyspnea) are primarily related to poorly controlled or irregular heart rate. The loss of atrioventricular synchrony results in a decreased cardiac output, which can be significant in patients with compromised cardiac function. Also, patients with AF are at higher risk for stroke, with anticoagulation typically recommended. AF is also associated with other cardiac conditions, such as valvular heart disease, heart failure, hypertension, and diabetes. Although episodes of AF can be converted to normal sinus rhythm using pharmacologic or electroshock conversion, the natural history of AF is that of recurrence, thought to be related to fibrillation-induced anatomic and electrical remodeling of the atria.

Treatment strategies can be broadly subdivided into rate control, in which only the ventricular rate is controlled, and the atria are allowed to fibrillate, or rhythm control, in which there is an attempt to reestablish and maintain normal sinus rhythm. Rhythm control has long been considered an important treatment goal for management of AF, although its primacy has recently been challenged by the results of several randomized trials reporting that pharmacologically maintained rhythm control offered no improvement in mortality or cardiovascular morbidity compared with rate control.

However, rhythm control is not curative. A variety of ablative procedures have been investigated as potentially curative approaches, or as modifiers of the arrhythmia so that drug therapy becomes more effective. Ablative approaches focus on the interruption of the electrical pathways that contribute to AF through modifying the arrhythmia triggers and/or the myocardial substrate that maintains the aberrant rhythm. The maze procedure, an open surgical procedure often combined with other cardiac surgeries (eg, valve repair), is an ablative treatment that involves sequential atriotomy incisions designed to create electrical barriers that prevent the maintenance of AF. Because of the highly invasive nature of this procedure, it is currently, mainly reserved for patients undergoing open heart surgery for other reasons (eg, valve repair, coronary artery bypass grafting).

#### Catheter Ablation for AF

Radiofrequency ablation using a percutaneous catheter-based approach is widely used to treat a variety of supraventricular arrhythmias, in which intracardiac mapping identifies a discrete arrhythmogenic focus that is the target of ablation (see evidence review 2.02.01). The situation is more complex for AF because there may be no single arrhythmogenic focus. AF most frequently arises from an abnormal focus at or near the junction of the pulmonary veins and the left atrium, thus leading to the feasibility of more
focused, percutaneous ablation techniques. Strategies that have emerged for focal ablation include segmental ostial ablation guided by pulmonary vein potential (electrical approach) and currently more typically involve circumferential pulmonary vein ablation (anatomic approach). Circumferential pulmonary vein ablation using radiofrequency energy is the most common approach at present.

Research into specific ablation and pulmonary vein isolation techniques is ongoing.

Use of current radiofrequency catheters for AF has a steep learning curve because they require extensive guiding to multiple ablation points. The procedure can also be done using cryoablation technology. One of the potential advantages of cryoablation is that cryoablation catheters have a circular or shaped endpoint, permitting a “one-shot” ablation.

Repeat Procedures
Repeat procedures following initial radiofrequency ablation are commonly performed if AF recurs or if atrial flutter develops post procedure. The need for repeat procedures may, in part, depend on the clinical characteristics of the patient (e.g., age, persistent vs paroxysmal AF, atrial dilatation), and the type of ablation initially performed. Repeat procedures are generally more limited in scope than the initial procedure. Additional clinical factors associated with the need for a second procedure include the length of AF, permanent AF, left atrial size, and left ventricular ejection fraction.

Summary
Atrial fibrillation (AF) frequently arises from an abnormal focus at or near the junction of the pulmonary veins and the left atrium, thus leading to the feasibility of more focused ablation techniques directed at these structures. Catheter-based ablation, using radiofrequency ablation (RFA) or cryoablation, is being studied as a treatment option for various types of AF.

For individuals who have symptomatic paroxysmal or persistent AF who have failed antiarrhythmic drugs who receive RFA or cryoablation, the evidence includes multiple randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, symptoms, morbid events, and quality of life (QOL). RCTs comparing RFA with antiarrhythmic medications have reported that freedom from AF is more likely after ablation than after medications. Results of long-term follow-up (5-6 years) after ablation have demonstrated that late recurrences continue in patients who are free of AF at one year. However, most patients who are AF-free at one year remain AF-free at five to six years. Multiple RCTs comparing cryoablation with RFA have found that cryoablation is noninferior to RFA for AF control. RFA and cryoablation differ in their adverse event profiles. For example, cryoablation is associated with higher rates of phrenic nerve paralysis but may permit a shorter procedure time. Given current data, it would be reasonable to consider both RFA and cryoablation effective for catheter ablation of AF foci or pulmonary vein isolation, provided there is a discussion about the risks and benefits of each. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have symptomatic AF and congestive heart failure who have failed rate control and antiarrhythmic drugs who receive RFA or cryoablation, the evidence includes RCTs, nonrandomized studies, and systematic reviews. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, symptoms, morbid events, and QOL. Findings from the RCTs have been supported by other comparative studies, which have reported improvements in AF. It is reasonable to consider both RFA and cryoablation effective for catheter ablation of AF foci or pulmonary vein isolation, provided there is a discussion about the risks and benefits of each. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have recurrent symptomatic paroxysmal AF who receive RFA or cryoablation as an initial rhythm-control strategy, the evidence includes RCTs, nonrandomized studies, and systematic reviews. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, symptoms, morbid events, and QOL. The most current RCT with adequate follow-up compared pulmonary vein isolation by catheter ablation (using either cryoablation or radiofrequency ablation) to medical therapy. Catheter ablation was not superior to medical therapy for major cardiovascular outcomes but secondary outcomes including AF recurrence favored catheter ablation. QOL measures reported in this RCT favored catheter ablation. Two other RCTs with
low-risk of bias compared catheter ablation for pulmonary vein isolation with antiarrhythmic medications. One RCT demonstrated reduced rates of AF recurrence, while the other reported reduced cumulative overall AF burden. Together, these results suggest that, when a rhythm-control strategy is desired, catheter ablation is a reasonable alternative to antiarrhythmic drug therapy. These RCTs comparing ablation with medical therapy were conducted using RFA, it is reasonable to consider both RFA and cryoablation effective for catheter ablation of AF foci or pulmonary vein isolation, provided that there is a discussion about the risks and benefits of each. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.
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