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NCD/LCD: National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Transcatheter Mitral Valve Repair (TMVR) (20.33)

Related Policies
• Transcatheter Pulmonary Valve Implantation, #403
• Transcatheter Aortic-Valve Implantation for Aortic Stenosis, #392

Policy
Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity

Transcatheter mitral valve repair with a device approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for use in mitral valve repair may be considered MEDICALLY NECESSARY for patients with symptomatic, degenerative mitral regurgitation who are considered at prohibitive risk for open surgery.*

**“Prohibitive risk” for open surgery may be determined based on:
• Presence of a Society for Thoracic Surgeons predicted mortality risk of 12% or greater and/or
• Presence of a logistic EuroSCORE of 20% or greater.

Society for Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac Surgery Risk Calculator

Transcatheter mitral valve repair is considered INVESTIGATIONAL in all other situations.

Medicare HMO BlueSM and Medicare PPO BlueSM Members

Medical necessity criteria and coding guidance can be found through the link below.

National Coverage Determinations (NCDs)

National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Transcatheter Mitral Valve Repair (TMVR) (20.33)

Note: To review the specific NCD, please remember to click “accept” on the CMS licensing agreement at the bottom of the CMS webpage.
Prior Authorization Information

Inpatient
- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

Outpatient
- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Prior Authorization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)</td>
<td><strong>not required</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial PPO and Indemnity</td>
<td><strong>not required</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare HMO Blue&lt;sup&gt;SM&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td><strong>not required</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare PPO Blue&lt;sup&gt;SM&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td><strong>not required</strong>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member’s contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

The following codes are included below for informational purposes only; this is not an all-inclusive list.

The above **medical necessity criteria MUST** be met for the following codes to be covered for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity:

### CPT Codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPT codes:</th>
<th>Code Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33418</td>
<td>Transcatheter mitral valve repair, percutaneous approach, including transseptal puncture when performed; initial prosthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33419</td>
<td>Transcatheter mitral valve repair, percutaneous approach, including transseptal puncture when performed; additional prosthesis(es) during same session (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0345T</td>
<td>Transcatheter mitral valve repair percutaneous approach via the coronary sinus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ICD-10 Procedure Codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICD-10-PCS procedure codes:</th>
<th>Code Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02RG3JZ</td>
<td>Replacement of Mitral Valve with Synthetic Substitute, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02RG4JZ</td>
<td>Replacement of Mitral Valve with Synthetic Substitute, Percutaneous Endoscopic Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02QG3ZZ</td>
<td>Repair Mitral Valve, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02QG4ZZ</td>
<td>Repair Mitral Valve, Percutaneous Endoscopic Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02UG3JZ</td>
<td>Supplement Mitral Valve with Synthetic Substitute, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02UG4JZ</td>
<td>Supplement Mitral Valve with Synthetic Substitute, Percutaneous Endoscopic Approach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following CPT code is considered investigational for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:

**CPT Codes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPT codes:</th>
<th>Code Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0544T</td>
<td>Transcatheter mitral valve annulus reconstruction, with implantation of adjustable annulus reconstruction device, percutaneous approach including transseptal puncture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

**MITRAL REGURGITATION**

**Epidemiology and Classification**
Mitral regurgitation (MR) is the second most common valvular heart disease, occurring in 7% of people older than age 75 years and accounting for 24% of all patients with valvular heart disease.\(^1,^2\)

Patients with MR generally fall into 2 categories—primary (also called degenerative) and secondary (also called functional) MR. Primary MR results from a primary structural abnormality in the valve, which causes it to leak. This leak may result from a floppy leaflet (called prolapse) or a ruptured cord that caused the leaflet to detach partially (called flail).\(^3\) Because the primary cause is a structural abnormality, most cases of primary MR are surgically corrected. In contrast, secondary MR results from left ventricular dilatation due to ischemic or dilated cardiomyopathy. This causes the mitral valve (MV) leaflets not to coapt or meet in the center.\(^4\) Because the valves are structurally normal in secondary MR, correcting the dilated left ventricular using medical therapy is the primary treatment strategy used in the United States.

MR severity is classified as mild, moderate, or severe disease on the basis of echocardiographic and/or angiographic findings (1+, 2+, and 3-4+ angiographic grade, respectively). MR with accompanying valvular incompetence leads to left ventricular volume overload with secondary ventricular remodeling, myocardial dysfunction, and left heart failure. Clinical signs and symptoms of dyspnea and orthopnea may also present in patients with valvular dysfunction.\(^4\)

**Standard Management**

**Medical Management**
Medical management has a primary role in secondary MR. Patients with chronic secondary MR should receive standard therapy for heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; standard management includes angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor (or angiotensin II receptor blocker or angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor), \(\beta\)-blocker and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist, and diuretic therapy as needed to treat volume overload.\(^3,^4\)

**Surgical Management**

In symptomatic patients with primary MR, surgery is the main therapy. In most cases, MV repair is preferred over replacement, as long as the valve is suitable for repair and personnel with appropriate surgical expertise are available. The American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association have issued joint guidelines on the surgical management of MV, which are outlined in Table 1.\(^3\)

**Table 1. Guidelines on Mitral Value Surgery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>COR</th>
<th>LOE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MV surgery is recommended for the symptomatic patient with acute severe MR.</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV surgery is beneficial for patients with chronic severe MR and NYHA functional class II, III, or IV symptoms in the absence of severe LV dysfunction (severe LV dysfunction is defined as ejection fraction less than 0.30) and/or end-systolic dimension greater than 55 mm.</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MV surgery is beneficial for asymptomatic patients with chronic severe MR and mild-to-moderate LV dysfunction, ejection fraction 0.30 to 0.60, and/or end systolic dimension greater than or equal to 40 mm.

MV repair is recommended over MV replacement in the majority of patients with severe chronic MR who require surgery, and patients should be referred to surgical centers experienced in MV repair.

MV repair is also reasonable for asymptomatic patients with chronic severe MR with preserved LV function … in whom the high likelihood of successful MV repair without residual MR is greater than 90%.

MV surgery is reasonable for asymptomatic patients with chronic severe MR, preserved LV function, and new onset of atrial fibrillation

MV surgery is reasonable for asymptomatic patients with chronic severe MR, preserved LV function, and pulmonary hypertension….

MV surgery is reasonable for patients with chronic severe MR due to a primary abnormality of the mitral apparatus and NYHA functional class III–IV symptoms and severe LV dysfunction … in whom MV repair is highly likely

COR: class of recommendation; LOE: level of evidence; LV: left ventricular; MR: mitral regurgitation; MV: mitral valve; NYHA: New York Heart Association.

The use of standard open MV repair is limited by the requirement for thoracotomy and cardiopulmonary bypass, which may not be tolerated by elderly or debilitated patients due to their underlying cardiac disease or other conditions. In a single-center evaluation of 5737 patients with severe MR in the United States, Goel et al (2014) found that 53% of patients did not have MV surgery performed, suggesting an unmet need for such patients.5

Transcatheter MV Repair
Transcatheter approaches have been investigated to address the unmet need for less invasive MV repair, particularly among inoperable patients who face prohibitively high surgical risks due to age or comorbidities. MV repair devices under development address various components of the MV complex and generally are performed on the beating heart without the need for cardiopulmonary bypass.1,6 Approaches to MV repair include direct leaflet repair, repair of the mitral annulus via direct annuloplasty, or indirect repair based on the annulus’s proximity to the coronary sinus. There are also devices in development to counteract ventricular remodeling, and systems designed for complete MV replacement via catheter.

Direct Leaflet Approximation
One device that undertakes direct leaflet repair, the MitraClip Clip Delivery System (Abbott Vascular), has been approved through the premarket approval process by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use in certain patients with symptomatic primary MR (see Regulatory Status section). Of the transcatheter MV repair devices under investigation, MitraClip has the largest body of evidence evaluating its use; it has been in use in Europe since 2008.7 The MitraClip system is deployed percutaneously and approximates the open Alfieri edge-to-edge repair approach to treating MR. The delivery system consists of a catheter, a steerable sleeve, and the MitraClip device, which is a 4-mm wide clip fabricated from a cobalt-chromium alloy and polypropylene fabric. MitraClip is deployed via a transfemoral approach, with transseptal puncture used to access the left side of the heart and the MV. Placement of MitraClip leads to coapting of the mitral leaflets, thus creating a double-orifice valve.

Other MV Repair Devices
Devices for transcatheter MV repair that use different approaches are in development. Techniques to repair the mitral annulus include those that target the annulus itself (direct annuloplasty) and those that tighten the mitral annulus via manipulation of the adjacent coronary sinus (indirect annuloplasty). Indirect annuloplasty devices include the Carillon® Mitral Contour System (Cardiac Dimension) and the Monarc™ device (Edwards Lifesciences). The CE-marked Carillon Mitral Contour System is comprised of self-expanding proximal and distal anchors connected with a nitinol bridge, with the proximal end coronary sinus ostium and the distal anchor in the great cardiac vein. The size of the connection is controlled by manual pullback on the catheter (CE-marked). The Carillon system was evaluated in the Carillon Mitral
Annuloplasty Device European Union Study (AMADEUS) and the follow-up Tighten the Annulus Now study, with further studies planned. The Monarch system also involves 2 self-expanding stents connected by a nitinol bridge, with 1 end implanted in the coronary sinus via internal jugular vein and the other in the great cardiac vein. Several weeks after implantation, the biologically degradable coating over the nitinol bridge degrades, allowing the bridge to shrink and the system to shorten. It has been evaluated in the Clinical Evaluation of the Edwards Lifesciences Percutaneous Mitral Annuloplasty System for the Treatment of Mitral Regurgitation (EVOLUTION I) trial.

Direct annuloplasty devices include the Mitralign Percutaneous Annuloplasty System (Mitralign) and the AccuCinch® System (Guided Delivery Systems), both of which involve transcatheter placement of anchors in the MV; they are cinched or connected to narrow the mitral annulus. Other transcatheter direct annuloplasty devices under investigation include the enCor TC™ device (MiCardia), which involves a percutaneously insertable annuloplasty ring that is adjustable using radiofrequency energy, a variation on its CE-marked enCor SQ™ Mitral Valve Repair System, and the Cardioband™ Annuloplasty System (Valtech Cardio), an implantable annuloplasty band with a transfemoral venous delivery system.

Transcatheter MV Replacement
Permavalve™ (MicroInterventional Devices), under investigation in the United States, is a transcatheter MV replacement device that is delivered via the transapical approach. On June 5, 2017, the SAPIEN 3 Transcatheter Heart Valve (Edwards Lifesciences) was approved by FDA as MV replacement device. These replacement valves are outside the scope of this evidence review.

Summary
Transcatheter mitral valve repair (TMVR) is an alternative to surgical therapy for mitral regurgitation (MR). MR is a common valvular heart disease that can result from a primary structural abnormality of the mitral valve (MV) complex or a secondary dilatation of an anatomically normal MV due to a dilated left ventricle caused by ischemic or dilated cardiomyopathy. Surgical therapy may be underutilized, particularly in patients with multiple comorbidities, suggesting that there is an unmet need for less invasive procedures for MV repair. One device, MitraClip, has approval from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of severe symptomatic MR due to a primary abnormality of the MV (primary MR) in patients considered at prohibitive risk for surgery.

MitraClip

Primary MR at Prohibitive Risk for Surgery
For individuals who have symptomatic primary MR and at prohibitive risk for open surgery who receive TMVR using MitraClip, the evidence includes a single-arm prospective cohort with historical cohort and registry studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. The primary evidence includes the pivotal EVEREST II HRR and EVEREST II REALISM studies and Transcatheter Valve Therapy Registry studies. These studies have demonstrated that MitraClip implantation is feasible with a procedural success rate greater than 90%, 30-day mortality ranging from 2.3% to 6.4% (less than predicted Society of Thoracic Surgeons mortality risk score for MR repair or replacement; range, 9.5%-13.2%), postimplantation MR severity grade of 2+ or less in 82% to 93% of patients, and a clinically meaningful gain in quality of life (5- to 6-point gains in 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey scores). At 1 year, freedom from death and MR more than 2+ was achieved in 61% of patients but the 1-year mortality or heart failure hospitalization rates remain considerably high (38%). Conclusions related to the treatment effect on mortality based on historical controls cannot be made because the control groups did not provide unbiased or precise estimates of the natural history of patients eligible to receive MitraClip. Given that primary MR is a mechanical problem and there is no effective medical therapy, a randomized controlled trial (RCT) comparing MitraClip with medical management is not feasible or ethical. The postmarketing data from the United States is supportive that MitraClip surgery is being performed with short-term effectiveness and safety in select patient population. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.
Clinical input obtained in 2015 supported the use of TMVR in patients with primary MR considered at a prohibitive risk for open surgery, which is the U.S. Food and Drug Administration–approved indication for the MitraClip device. Given the lack of other treatment options for this population, the suggestive clinical evidence, and supportive clinical input, TMVR with the MitraClip may be considered medically necessary for this patient population.

**Secondary MR at Prohibitive Risk for Surgery**

For individuals who have symptomatic secondary MR and at prohibitive risk for open surgery who receive TMVR using MitraClip, the evidence includes multiple observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, morbidity events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. Multiple observational studies from Europe have suggested that MitraClip reduces the severity of MR and improves functional class in patients with secondary MR. However, recommendations from major societies regarding mitral valve surgery (conventional or percutaneous) are weak because the current evidence is inconsistent on whether mitral valve surgery produces a clinical benefit in patients with secondary MR. A RCT comparing the safety and effectiveness of MitraClip (COAPT trial) in patients with secondary MR is currently underway and is expected to be completed in 2024. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**Primary or Secondary MR Not at Risk for Surgery**

For individuals who have symptomatic primary or secondary MR and are surgical candidates who receive TMVR using MitraClip, the evidence includes a systematic review and an RCT. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. The RCT found that MitraClip did not reduce MR as often or as completely as the surgical control, although it could be safely implanted and was associated with fewer adverse events at 1 year. Long-term follow-up from the RCT showed that significantly more MitraClip patients required surgery for MV dysfunction than conventional surgery patients. For these reasons, this single trial is not definitive in demonstrating improved clinical outcomes with MitraClip compared with surgery. Additional RCTs are needed to corroborate these results. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**Devices Other Than MitraClip**

For individuals who have symptomatic primary or secondary MR who receive TMVR using devices other than MitraClip, the evidence includes primarily noncomparative feasibility studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. The body of evidence consists only of very small case series and case reports. Controlled studies, preferably RCTs, are needed to draw conclusions about the net health benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**Policy History**
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