



MASSACHUSETTS

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts is an Independent Licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Medical Policy

Molecular Testing in the Management of Pulmonary Nodules

Table of Contents

- [Policy: Commercial](#)
- [Policy: Medicare](#)
- [Authorization Information](#)
- [Coding Information](#)
- [Description](#)
- [Policy History](#)
- [Information Pertaining to All Policies](#)
- [References](#)

Policy Number: 029

BCBSA Reference Number: 2.04.142

NCD/LCD:

- Local Coverage Determination (LCD): MolDX-CDD: Percepta® Bronchial Genomic Classifier (L36854)
- Local Coverage Determination (LCD): MolDX: Xpresys Lung (L37031)

Related Policies

None

Policy

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity

Plasma-based proteomic screening including but not limited to Xpresys® Lung in patients with undiagnosed pulmonary nodules detected by computed tomography is considered [INVESTIGATIONAL](#).

Gene expression profiling on bronchial brushings, including but not limited to Percepta® Bronchial Genomic Classifier, in patients with indeterminate bronchoscopy results from undiagnosed pulmonary nodules is considered [INVESTIGATIONAL](#).

Medicare HMO BlueSM and Medicare PPO BlueSM Members

Medical necessity criteria and coding guidance for **Medicare Advantage members living in Massachusetts** can be found through the links below.

[Local Coverage Determination \(LCD\): MolDX: Xpresys Lung \(L37031\)](#)

[Local Coverage Determination \(LCD\): MolDX-CDD: Percepta® Bronchial Genomic Classifier \(L36854\)](#)

For medical necessity criteria and coding guidance for **Medicare Advantage members living outside of Massachusetts**, please see the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services website for information regarding your specific jurisdiction at <https://www.cms.gov>.

Prior Authorization Information

Inpatient

- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

Outpatient

- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

	Outpatient
Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)	This is not a covered service.
Commercial PPO and Indemnity	This is not a covered service.
Medicare HMO Blue SM	Prior authorization is not required .
Medicare PPO Blue SM	Prior authorization is not required .

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

CPT Codes

The following CPT code is considered investigational for **Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:**

CPT Codes

CPT codes:	Code Description
0080U	Oncology (lung), mass spectrometric analysis of galectin-3-binding protein and scavenger receptor cysteine-rich type 1 protein M130, with five clinical risk factors (age, smoking status, nodule diameter, nodule-spiculation status and nodule location), utilizing plasma, algorithm reported as a categorical probability of malignancy

Description

PULMONARY NODULES

Pulmonary nodules are a common clinical problem that may be found incidentally on a chest x-ray or computed tomography (CT) scan or during lung cancer screening studies of smokers. The primary question after the detection of a pulmonary nodule is the probability of malignancy, with subsequent management of the nodule based on various factors such as the radiographic characteristics of the nodules (eg, size, shape, density) and patient factors (eg, age, smoking history, previous cancer history, family history, environmental/occupational exposures). The key challenge in the diagnostic workup for pulmonary nodules is appropriately ruling in patients for invasive diagnostic procedures and ruling out patients who should forgo invasive diagnostic procedures. However, due to the low positive predictive value of pulmonary nodules detected radiographically, many unnecessary invasive diagnostic procedures and/or surgeries are performed to confirm or eliminate the diagnosis of lung cancer.

PROTEOMICS

Proteomics is the study of the structure and function of proteins. The study of the concentration, structure, and other characteristics of proteins in various bodily tissues, fluids, and other materials has been proposed as a method to identify and manage various diseases, including cancer. In proteomics, multiple test methods are used to study proteins. Immunoassays use antibodies to detect the concentration and/or structure of proteins. Mass spectrometry is an analytic technique that ionizes proteins into smaller fragments and determines mass and composition to identify and characterize them.

Plasma-Based Proteomic Screening for Pulmonary Nodules

Plasma-based proteomic screening has been investigated to risk-stratify pulmonary nodules as likely benign to increase the number of patients who undergo serial CT scans of their nodules (active surveillance), instead of invasive procedures such as CT-guided biopsy or surgery. Additionally, proteomic testing may also determine a likely malignancy in clinically low-risk or intermediate-risk pulmonary nodules, thereby permitting earlier detection in a subset of patients.

Xpresys Lung is a plasma-based proteomic screening test that measures the relative abundance of proteins from multiple disease pathways associated with lung cancer using an analytic technique called multiple reaction monitoring mass spectroscopy. The role of the test is to aid physicians in differentiating likely benign from likely malignant nodules. If the test yields a likely benign result, patients may choose active surveillance via serial CT scans to monitor the pulmonary nodule. If the test yields a likely malignant result, invasive diagnostic procedures would be indicated. The test is therefore only used in the management of pulmonary nodules to rule in or out invasive diagnostic procedures and does not diagnose lung cancer.

GENE EXPRESSION PROFILING

Gene expression profiling is the measurement of the activity of genes with cells. Messenger RNA serves at the bridge between DNA and functional proteins. Multiple molecular techniques such as Northern blots, ribonuclease protection assay, in situ hybridization, spotted complementary DNA arrays, oligonucleotide arrays, reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction, and transcriptome sequencing are used in gene expression profiling. An important role of gene expression profiling in molecular diagnostics is to detect cancer-associated gene expression of clinical samples to assess for the risk for malignancy.

Gene Expression Profiling for an Indeterminate Bronchoscopy Result

The Percepta Bronchial Genomic Classifier is a 23-gene, gene expression profiling test that analyzes genomic changes in the airways of current or former smokers to assess a patient's risk of having lung cancer, without the direct testing of a pulmonary nodule. The test is indicated for current and former smokers following an indeterminate bronchoscopy result to determine the subsequent management of pulmonary nodules (eg, active surveillance or invasive diagnostic procedures), and does not diagnose lung cancer.

Summary

Plasma-based proteomic screening and gene expression profiling of bronchial brushing are molecular tests available in the diagnostic workup of pulmonary nodules. To rule out malignancy, invasive diagnostic procedures such as computed tomography-guided biopsies, bronchoscopies, or video-assisted thoracoscopic are often required, but each carry procedure-related complications ranging from postprocedure pain to pneumothorax. Molecular diagnostic tests have been proposed to aid in risk-stratifying patients to eliminate or necessitate the need for subsequent invasive diagnostic procedures.

For individuals with undiagnosed pulmonary nodules detected by computed tomography who receive plasma-based proteomic screening, the evidence includes a prospective cohort and prospective-retrospective studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, morbid events, hospitalizations, and resource utilization. The commercially available tests have been designed to have a high negative predictive value of 90%, although studies have reported on slightly different versions. A single multicenter prospective-retrospective study revealed that 32% of surgeries and 31.8% of invasive procedures could have been avoided; however, 24.0% of patients with malignancy would have been triaged to computed tomography surveillance (false-negative). Studies have not reported how this proteomic screening reclassifies patients relative to clinical classifiers regarding risk. Indirect evidence has suggested that a proteomic classifier with high negative predictive value has the potential to reduce the number of unnecessary invasive procedures to definitively diagnose benign disease vs malignancy. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with undiagnosed pulmonary nodules following indeterminate bronchoscopy results for suspected lung cancer who receive gene expression profiling of bronchial brushings, the evidence includes multicenter prospective studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, morbid events, hospitalizations, and resource utilization. Reported receiver operating characteristic curve values ranged from 0.74 to 0.81, with a negative predictive value of 91%. Among patients with a low and intermediate pretest probability of cancer with an inconclusive bronchoscopy, 77 (85%) patients underwent invasive diagnostic procedures. However, there was a relatively high number of missed cancers. No validation of the test in other populations was identified. Also, where the test would fall in the clinical pathway (ie, other than indeterminate bronchoscopy) is uncertain. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Policy History

Date	Action
1/2019	Clarified coding information
11/2018	BCBSA National medical policy review. Description, summary and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
10/2017	New medical policy describing investigational indications. Effective 10/1/2017.

Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:

[Medical Policy Terms of Use](#)

[Managed Care Guidelines](#)

[Indemnity/PPO Guidelines](#)

[Clinical Exception Process](#)

[Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines](#)

References

1. Gould MK, Donington J, Lynch WR, et al. Evaluation of individuals with pulmonary nodules: when is it lung cancer? Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest*. May 2013;143(5 Suppl):e93S-120S. PMID 23649456
2. Pecot CV, Li M, Zhang XJ, et al. Added value of a serum proteomic signature in the diagnostic evaluation of lung nodules. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. May 2012;21(5):786-792. PMID 22374995
3. Li XJ, Hayward C, Fong PY, et al. A blood-based proteomic classifier for the molecular characterization of pulmonary nodules. *Sci Transl Med*. Oct 16 2013;5(207):207ra142. PMID 24132637
4. Vachani A, Pass HI, Rom WN, et al. Validation of a multiprotein plasma classifier to identify benign lung nodules. *J Thorac Oncol*. Apr 2015;10(4):629-637. PMID 25590604
5. Vachani A, Hammoud Z, Springmeyer S, et al. Clinical utility of a plasma protein classifier for indeterminate lung nodules. *Lung*. Dec 2015;193(6):1023-1027. PMID 26376647
6. Rivera MP, Mehta AC, Wahidi MM. Establishing the diagnosis of lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest*. May 2013;143(5 Suppl):e142S-165S. PMID 23649436
7. Whitney DH, Elashoff MR, Porta-Smith K, et al. Derivation of a bronchial genomic classifier for lung cancer in a prospective study of patients undergoing diagnostic bronchoscopy. *BMC Med Genomics*. May 06 2015;8:18. PMID 25944280
8. Silvestri GA, Vachani A, Whitney D, et al. A bronchial genomic classifier for the diagnostic evaluation of lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. Jul 16 2015;373(3):243-251. PMID 25981554
9. Vachani A, Whitney DH, Parsons EC, et al. Clinical utility of a bronchial genomic classifier in patients with suspected lung cancer. *Chest*. Jul 2016;150(1):210-218. PMID 26896702
10. Ferguson JS, Van Wert R, Choi Y, et al. Impact of a bronchial genomic classifier on clinical decision making in patients undergoing diagnostic evaluation for lung cancer. *BMC Pulm Med*. May 17 2016;16(1):66. PMID 27184093

11. Detterbeck FC, Lewis SZ, Diekemper R, et al. Executive Summary: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest*. May 2013;143(5 Suppl):7S-37S. PMID 23649434