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Policy
Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity Medicare HMO BlueSM and Medicare PPO BlueSM Members

Allogeneic hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation (HCT) using a myeloablative conditioning regimen may be MEDICALLY NECESSARY to treat:

- Poor- to intermediate-risk acute myeloid leukemia (AML) in first complete remission (CR1); or
- AML that is refractory to standard induction chemotherapy but can be brought into CR with intensified induction chemotherapy; or
- AML that relapses following chemotherapy-induced CR1 but can be brought into CR2 or beyond with intensified induction chemotherapy; or
- AML in patients, who have relapsed following a prior autologous (HCT) but can be brought into CR with intensified induction chemotherapy and are medically able to tolerate the procedure.

Primary refractory acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is defined as leukemia that does not achieve a complete remission after conventionally dosed (non-marrow ablative) chemotherapy.

Allogeneic (HCT) using a reduced-intensity conditioning regimen be MEDICALLY NECESSARY as a treatment of AML in patients who are in complete marrow and extramedullary remission (CR1 or beyond), and who for medical reasons would be unable to tolerate a myeloablative conditioning regimen.
Autologous (HCT) may be **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** to treat AML in CR1 or beyond, or relapsed AML, if responsive to intensified induction chemotherapy in patients who are not candidates for allogeneic HCT.

Allogeneic and autologous HCT are **INVESTIGATIONAL** in patients not meeting any of the above criteria.

**Prior Authorization Information**

**Inpatient**
- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

**Outpatient**
- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)</th>
<th>Prior authorization is required.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial PPO and Indemnity</td>
<td>Prior authorization is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare HMO Blue&lt;sup&gt;SM&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Prior authorization is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare PPO Blue&lt;sup&gt;SM&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Prior authorization is required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes**

*Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member’s contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.*

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

*The following codes are included below for informational purposes only; this is not an all-inclusive list.*

The above **medical necessity criteria MUST** be met for the following codes to be covered for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:

**CPT Codes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPT codes</th>
<th>Code Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38205</td>
<td>Blood-derived hematopoietic progenitor cell harvesting for transplantation, per collection; allogeneic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38206</td>
<td>Blood-derived hematopoietic progenitor cell harvesting for transplantation, per collection; autologous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38230</td>
<td>Bone marrow harvesting for transplantation; allogeneic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38232</td>
<td>Bone marrow harvesting for transplantation; autologous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38240</td>
<td>Hematopoietic progenitor cell (HPC); allogeneic transplantation per donor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38241</td>
<td>Hematopoietic progenitor cell (HPC); autologous transplantation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HCPCS Codes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCPCS codes</th>
<th>Code Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S2140</td>
<td>Cord blood harvesting for transplantation; allogeneic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2142</td>
<td>Cord blood derived stem-cell transplantation, allogeneic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
S2150 Bone marrow or blood-derived peripheral stem-cell harvesting and transplantation, allogeneic or autologous, including pheresis, high-dose chemotherapy, and the number of days of post-transplant care in the global definition (including drugs; hospitalization; medical surgical, diagnostic and emergency services)

**ICD-10 Procedure Codes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICD-10-PCS procedure codes:</th>
<th>Code Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30233G0</td>
<td>Transfusion of Autologous Bone Marrow into Peripheral Vein, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30233G1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Bone Marrow into Peripheral Vein, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30233X1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Cord Blood Stem Cells into Peripheral Vein, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30233Y0</td>
<td>Transfusion of Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cells into Peripheral Vein, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30233Y1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Hematopoietic Stem Cells into Peripheral Vein, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30243G0</td>
<td>Transfusion of Autologous Bone Marrow into Central Vein, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30243G1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Bone Marrow into Central Vein, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30243X1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Cord Blood Stem Cells into Central Vein, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30243Y0</td>
<td>Transfusion of Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cells into Central Vein, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30243Y1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Hematopoietic Stem Cells into Central Vein, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30263G0</td>
<td>Transfusion of Autologous Bone Marrow into Central Artery, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30263G1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Bone Marrow into Central Artery, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30263X1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Cord Blood Stem Cells into Central Artery, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30263Y0</td>
<td>Transfusion of Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cells into Central Artery, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30263Y1</td>
<td>Transfusion of Nonautologous Hematopoietic Stem Cells into Central Artery, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E03305</td>
<td>Introduction of Other Antineoplastic into Peripheral Vein, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E04305</td>
<td>Introduction of Other Antineoplastic into Central Vein, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E05305</td>
<td>Introduction of Other Antineoplastic into Peripheral Artery, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E06305</td>
<td>Introduction of Other Antineoplastic into Central Artery, Percutaneous Approach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

**Treatment**

Complete remission can be achieved initially using induction therapy, consisting of conventional doses of combination chemotherapy. A complete response is achieved in 60% to 80% of adults younger than 60 years of age and 40% to 60% in patients older than 60 years of age. However, the high incidence of disease relapse has prompted research into a variety of post-remission (consolidation) strategies, typically using high-dose chemotherapy with autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) or high-dose or reduced-intensity chemotherapy with allogeneic HCT (allo-HCT). The 2 treatments - autologous HCT and allo-HCT - represent 2 different strategies. The first, autologous HCT, is a “rescue,” but not a therapeutic procedure; the second, allo-HCT, is a “rescue” plus a therapeutic procedure.
**Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation**

HCT is a procedure in which hematopoietic stem cells are intravenously infused to restore bone marrow and immune function in cancer patients who receive bone marrow-toxic doses of cytotoxic drugs with or without whole-body radiotherapy. Hematopoietic stem cells may be obtained from the transplant recipient (autologous HCT) or a donor (allo-HCT). They can be harvested from bone marrow, peripheral blood, or umbilical cord blood shortly after delivery of neonates. Cord blood transplantation is discussed in detail in policy #285.

Immunologic compatibility between infused hematopoietic stem cells and the recipient is not an issue in autologous HCT. In allogeneic stem cell transplantation, immunologic compatibility between donor and patient is a critical factor for achieving a successful outcome. Compatibility is established by typing of human leukocyte antigens (HLA) using cellular, serologic, or molecular techniques. HLA refers to the gene complex expressed at the HLA-A, -B, and -DR (antigen-D related) loci on each arm of chromosome 6. An acceptable donor will match the patient at all or most of the HLA loci.

**Conditioning for Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation**

**Conventional Conditioning**

The conventional ("classical") practice of allo-HCT involves administration of cytotoxic agents (e.g., cyclophosphamide, busulfan) with or without total body irradiation at doses sufficient to cause bone marrow ablation in the recipient. The beneficial treatment effect of this procedure is due to a combination of the initial eradication of malignant cells and subsequent graft-versus-malignancy effect mediated by non-self-immunologic effector cells. While the slower graft-versus-malignancy effect is considered the potentially curative component, it may be overwhelmed by existing disease in the absence of pretransplant conditioning. Intense conditioning regimens are limited to patients who are sufficiently medically fit to tolerate substantial adverse effects. These include opportunistic infections secondary to loss of endogenous bone marrow function and organ damage or failure caused by cytotoxic drugs. Subsequent to graft infusion in allo-HCT, immunosuppressant drugs are required to minimize graft rejection and graft-versus-host disease, which increases susceptibility to opportunistic infections. The success of autologous HCT is predicated on the potential of cytotoxic chemotherapy, with or without radiotherapy, to eradicate cancerous cells from the blood and bone marrow. This permits subsequent engraftment and repopulation of the bone marrow with presumably normal hematopoietic stem cells obtained from the patient before undergoing bone marrow ablation. Therefore, autologous HCT is typically performed as consolidation therapy when the patient’s disease is in complete remission. Patients who undergo autologous HCT are also susceptible to chemotherapy-related toxicities and opportunistic infections before engraftment, but not graft-versus-host disease.

**Reduced-Intensity Conditioning Allogeneic Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation**

RIC refers to the pretransplant use of lower doses of cytotoxic drugs or less intense regimens of radiotherapy than are used in traditional full-dose myeloablative conditioning treatments. Although the definition of RIC is variable, with numerous versions employed, all regimens seek to balance the competing effects of relapse due to residual disease and non-relapse mortality. The goal of RIC is to reduce disease burden and to minimize associated treatment-related morbidity and non-relapse mortality in the period during which the beneficial graft-versus-malignancy effect of allogeneic transplantation develops. RIC regimens range from nearly total myeloablative to minimally myeloablative with lymphoablation, with intensity tailored to specific diseases and patient condition. Patients who undergo RIC with allo-HCT initially demonstrate donor cell engraftment and bone marrow mixed chimerism. Most will subsequently convert to full-donor chimerism. In this review, the term reduced-intensity conditioning will refer to all conditioning regimens intended to be nonmyeloablative.

A 2015 review in the *New England Journal of Medicine* summarized recent advances in the classification of acute myeloid leukemia, the genomics of acute myeloid leukemia and prognostic factors, and current and new treatments.1.
Summary

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) refers to leukemias that arise from a myeloid precursor in the bone marrow. There is a high incidence of relapse, which has prompted research into various post-remission strategies using either allogeneic (allo-) or autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT). HCT refers to a procedure that infuses hematopoietic stem cells to restore bone marrow function in cancer patients who receive bone-marrow-toxic doses of drugs with or without whole-body radiotherapy.

For individuals who have cytogenetic or molecular intermediate- or poor-risk AML in first complete remission (CR1) who receive allo-HCT with myeloablative conditioning (MAC), the evidence includes RCTs and matched cohort studies. The relevant outcomes are overall survival (OS) and disease-specific survival (DSS). The evidence has revealed that allo-HCT is better at improving OS and DSS rates in patients with AML in CR1 than conventional chemotherapy. All trials employed natural randomization based on donor availability and intention-to-treat analysis. Survival rates appear to be associated with the presence of minimal residual disease and risk category. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have AML refractory to standard induction chemotherapy who receive allo-HCT with MAC, the evidence includes retrospective data compiled from patients entered in phase 3 trials and registry data. The relevant outcomes are OS and DSS. The evidence would suggest that allo-HCT improves OS and DSS rates in patients who are refractory to induction chemotherapy better than conventional chemotherapy. While there are some limitations to the evidence, which include its retrospective nature, lack of rigorous randomization, and general pitfalls of registry data, these results may provide a clinically meaningful benefit for patients who do not have other treatment options. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have AML who relapsed after standard induction chemotherapy-induced CR1 who receive allo-HCT or autologous HCT with MAC, the evidence includes retrospective data compiled from patients entered in phase 3 trials and registry data. The relevant outcomes are OS and DSS. The evidence has shown that allo-HCT improves OS rates in patients with relapsed AML better than conventional chemotherapy. Limitations of the evidence include its retrospective nature, lack of rigorous randomization, and pitfalls of registry data. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have AML who relapsed after standard induction chemotherapy-induced CR1 who receive allo-HCT with reduced-intensity conditioning, the evidence includes 2 RCTs, 2 meta-analyses, and other comparative and noncomparative studies. The relevant outcomes are OS, DSS, and treatment-related morbidity. The RCTs compared reduced-intensity conditioning with MAC and reported similar rates in nonrelapse mortality, relapse, and OS though one of the trials was stopped prematurely due to slow accrual of patients. Two retrospective comparative studies found no difference in OS or leukemia-free survival between the conditioning regimens. It appears unlikely that additional comparative evidence will be generated. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have AML in CR1 for beyond without a suitable allo-HCT donor who receives autologous HCT, the evidence includes prospective cohort studies in which patients with an available sibling donor were offered allo-HCT (biologic randomization) with random assignment of all others to autologous HCT or chemotherapy (or no further treatment); and randomized trials comparing autologous HCT with chemotherapy in all patients. The relevant outcomes are OS and DSS. Compared with chemotherapy, patients undergoing autologous HCT experienced reduced relapse and improved disease-free survival rates. The OS did not differ between the groups. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.
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