



MASSACHUSETTS

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts is an Independent Licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Medical Policy

Intravitreal Corticosteroid Implants

Table of Contents

- [Policy: Commercial](#)
- [Policy: Medicare](#)
- [Authorization Information](#)
- [Coding Information](#)
- [Description](#)
- [Policy History](#)
- [Information Pertaining to All Policies](#)
- [References](#)

Policy Number: 272

BCBSA Reference Number: 9.03.23

NDC/LCD: N/A

Related Policies

- Aqueous Shunts and Stents for Glaucoma, #223
- Suprachoroidal Delivery of Pharmacologic Agents, #609
- Intravitreal Angiogenesis Inhibitors for Retinal Vascular Conditions, #401

Policy

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity Medicare HMO BlueSM and Medicare PPO BlueSM Members

A fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant 0.59 mg (Retisert®) may be **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** for the treatment of:

- Chronic noninfectious intermediate, posterior, or panuveitis.

A fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant 0.19 mg (Iluvien®) may be considered **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** for the treatment of:

- Diabetic macular edema in patients who have been previously treated with a course of corticosteroids and did not have a clinically significant rise in intraocular pressure.

A dexamethasone intravitreal implant 0.7 mg (Ozurdex™) may be **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** for the treatment of:

- Non-infectious ocular inflammation, or uveitis, affecting the intermediate or posterior segment of the eye, OR
- Macular edema following branch or central retinal vein occlusion, OR
- Diabetic macular edema.

A fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant 0.59 mg (Retisert®) or 0.19 mg (Iluvien®) or dexamethasone intravitreal implant 0.7 mg (Ozurdex™) is considered **INVESTIGATIONAL** for the treatment of

- Birdshot retinochoroidopathy
- Cystoid macular edema related to retinitis pigmentosa

- Idiopathic macular telangiectasia type 1
- Postoperative macular edema
- Circumscribed choroidal hemangiomas
- Proliferative vitreoretinopathy
- Radiation retinopathy.

All other uses of a corticosteroid intravitreal implant are considered [INVESTIGATIONAL](#).

Prior Authorization Information

Inpatient

- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

Outpatient

- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

	Outpatient
Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)	Prior authorization is not required .
Commercial PPO and Indemnity	Prior authorization is not required .
Medicare HMO Blue SM	Prior authorization is not required .
Medicare PPO Blue SM	Prior authorization is not required .

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

The following codes are included below for informational purposes only; this is not an all-inclusive list.

The above **medical necessity criteria MUST** be met for the following codes to be covered for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:

CPT Codes

CPT Codes	Description
67027	Implantation of intravitreal drug delivery system (eg, ganciclovir implant), includes concomitant removal of vitreous
67028	Intravitreal injection of a pharmacologic agent (separate procedure)

The above **medical necessity criteria MUST** be met for the following codes to be covered for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:

HCPCS Codes

HCPCS codes:	Code Description
J7311	Fluocinolone acetonide, intravitreal implant
J7312	Injection, dexamethasone, intravitreal implant, 0.1 mg
J7313	Injection, fluocinolone acetonide, intravitreal implant, 0.01 mg

The following ICD Diagnosis Codes are considered medically necessary when submitted with the CPT codes above if medical necessity criteria are met:

ICD-10 Diagnosis Codes

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis codes:	Code Description
E08.311	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with unspecified diabetic retinopathy with macular edema
E08.3211	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E08.3212	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E08.3213	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E08.3219	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, unspecified eye
E08.3311	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E08.3312	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E08.3313	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E08.3319	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, unspecified eye
E08.3411	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E08.3412	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E08.3413	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E08.3419	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, unspecified eye
E08.3511	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E08.3512	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E08.3513	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E08.3519	Diabetes mellitus due to underlying condition with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, unspecified eye
E09.311	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with unspecified diabetic retinopathy with macular edema
E09.3211	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E09.3212	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E09.3213	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E09.3219	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, unspecified eye
E09.3311	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye

E09.3312	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E09.3313	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E09.3319	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, unspecified eye
E09.3411	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E09.3412	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E09.3413	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E09.3419	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, unspecified eye
E09.3511	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E09.3512	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E09.3513	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E09.3519	Drug or chemical induced diabetes mellitus with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, unspecified eye
E10.311	Type 1 diabetes mellitus with unspecified diabetic retinopathy with macular edema
E10.3211	Type 1 diabetes mellitus with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E10.3212	Type 1 diabetes mellitus with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E10.3213	Type 1 diabetes mellitus with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E10.3311	Type 1 diabetes mellitus with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E10.3312	Type 1 diabetes mellitus with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E10.3411	Type 1 diabetes mellitus with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E10.3412	Type 1 diabetes mellitus with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E10.3413	Type 1 diabetes mellitus with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E10.3511	Type 1 diabetes mellitus with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E10.3512	Type 1 diabetes mellitus with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E10.3513	Type 1 diabetes mellitus with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E11.311	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with unspecified diabetic retinopathy with macular edema
E11.3211	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E11.3212	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E11.3213	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E11.3219	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, unspecified eye

E11.3311	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E11.3312	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E11.3313	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E11.3319	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, unspecified eye
E11.3411	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E11.3412	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E11.3413	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E11.3419	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, unspecified eye
E11.3511	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E11.3512	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E11.3513	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E11.3519	Type 2 diabetes mellitus with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, unspecified eye
E13.311	Other specified diabetes mellitus with unspecified diabetic retinopathy with macular edema
E13.3211	Other specified diabetes mellitus with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E13.3212	Other specified diabetes mellitus with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E13.3213	Other specified diabetes mellitus with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E13.3219	Other specified diabetes mellitus with mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, unspecified eye
E13.3311	Other specified diabetes mellitus with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E13.3312	Other specified diabetes mellitus with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E13.3313	Other specified diabetes mellitus with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E13.3319	Other specified diabetes mellitus with moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, unspecified eye
E13.3411	Other specified diabetes mellitus with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E13.3412	Other specified diabetes mellitus with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye
E13.3413	Other specified diabetes mellitus with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E13.3419	Other specified diabetes mellitus with severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, unspecified eye
E13.3511	Other specified diabetes mellitus with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, right eye
E13.3512	Other specified diabetes mellitus with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, left eye

E13.3513	Other specified diabetes mellitus with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, bilateral
E13.3519	Other specified diabetes mellitus with proliferative diabetic retinopathy with macular edema, unspecified eye
H05.00	Unspecified acute inflammation of orbit
H05.10	Unspecified chronic inflammatory disorders of orbit
H20.9	Unspecified iridocyclitis
H30.001	Unspecified focal chorioretinal inflammation, right eye
H30.002	Unspecified focal chorioretinal inflammation, left eye
H30.003	Unspecified focal chorioretinal inflammation, bilateral
H30.011	Focal chorioretinal inflammation, juxtapapillary, right eye
H30.012	Focal chorioretinal inflammation, juxtapapillary, left eye
H30.013	Focal chorioretinal inflammation, juxtapapillary, bilateral
H30.021	Focal chorioretinal inflammation of posterior pole, right eye
H30.022	Focal chorioretinal inflammation of posterior pole, left eye
H30.023	Focal chorioretinal inflammation of posterior pole, bilateral
H30.029	Focal chorioretinal inflammation of posterior pole, unspecified eye
H30.031	Focal chorioretinal inflammation, peripheral, right eye
H30.032	Focal chorioretinal inflammation, peripheral, left eye
H30.033	Focal chorioretinal inflammation, peripheral, bilateral
H30.041	Focal chorioretinal inflammation, macular or paramacular, right eye
H30.042	Focal chorioretinal inflammation, macular or paramacular, left eye
H30.043	Focal chorioretinal inflammation, macular or paramacular, bilateral
H30.101	Unspecified disseminated chorioretinal inflammation, right eye
H30.102	Unspecified disseminated chorioretinal inflammation, left eye
H30.103	Unspecified disseminated chorioretinal inflammation, bilateral
H30.111	Disseminated chorioretinal inflammation of posterior pole, right eye
H30.112	Disseminated chorioretinal inflammation of posterior pole, left eye
H30.113	Disseminated chorioretinal inflammation of posterior pole, bilateral
H30.119	Disseminated chorioretinal inflammation of posterior pole, unspecified eye
H30.121	Disseminated chorioretinal inflammation, peripheral right eye
H30.122	Disseminated chorioretinal inflammation, peripheral, left eye
H30.123	Disseminated chorioretinal inflammation, peripheral, bilateral
H30.141	Acute posterior multifocal placoid pigment epitheliopathy, right eye
H30.142	Acute posterior multifocal placoid pigment epitheliopathy, left eye
H30.143	Acute posterior multifocal placoid pigment epitheliopathy, bilateral
H30.21	Posterior cyclitis, right eye
H30.22	Posterior cyclitis, left eye
H30.23	Posterior cyclitis, bilateral
H30.811	Harada's disease, right eye
H30.812	Harada's disease, left eye
H30.813	Harada's disease, bilateral
H30.891	Other chorioretinal inflammations, right eye
H30.892	Other chorioretinal inflammations, left eye
H30.893	Other chorioretinal inflammations, bilateral
H30.899	Other chorioretinal inflammations, unspecified eye
H30.90	Unspecified chorioretinal inflammation, unspecified eye
H30.91	Unspecified chorioretinal inflammation, right eye
H30.92	Unspecified chorioretinal inflammation, left eye
H30.93	Unspecified chorioretinal inflammation, bilateral
H34.8110	Central retinal vein occlusion, right eye, with macular edema

H34.8120	Central retinal vein occlusion, left eye, with macular edema
H34.8130	Central retinal vein occlusion, bilateral, with macular edema
H34.8310	Tributary (branch) retinal vein occlusion, right eye, with macular edema
H34.8320	Tributary (branch) retinal vein occlusion, left eye, with macular edema
H34.8330	Tributary (branch) retinal vein occlusion, bilateral, with macular edema
H34.9	Unspecified retinal vascular occlusion
H35.81	Retinal edema
H44.111	Panuveitis, right eye
H44.112	Panuveitis, left eye
H44.113	Panuveitis, bilateral
H44.119	Panuveitis, unspecified eye

Description

Eye conditions

Uveitis

Uveitis encompasses various conditions, of infectious and noninfectious etiologies, that are characterized by inflammation of any part of the uveal tract of the eye (iris, ciliary body, choroid). Infectious etiologies include syphilis, toxoplasmosis, cytomegalovirus retinitis, and candidiasis. Noninfectious etiologies include sarcoidosis, Behçet syndrome, and “white dot” syndromes such as multifocal choroiditis or “birdshot” chorioretinopathy. Uveitis may be idiopathic, have a sudden or insidious onset, a duration that is limited (<3 months) or persistent, and a course that may be acute, recurrent, or chronic.

The classification scheme recommended by the Uveitis Study Group and the Standardization of Uveitis Nomenclature Working Group is based on anatomic location. Patients with anterior uveitis typically develop symptoms such as light sensitivity, pain, tearing, and redness of the sclera. In posterior uveitis, which comprises approximately 5% to 38% of all uveitis cases in the United States, the primary site of inflammation is the choroid or retina (or both). Patients with intermediate or posterior uveitis typically experience minimal pain, decreased visual acuity, and the presence of floaters (bits of vitreous debris or cells that cast shadows on the retina). Chronic inflammation associated with posterior segment uveitis can lead to cataracts, glaucoma, and structural damage to the eye, resulting in severe and permanent vision loss.

Treatment

The primary goal of therapy for uveitis is to preserve vision. Noninfectious uveitis typically responds well to corticosteroid treatment. Immunosuppressive therapy (eg, antimetabolites, alkylating agents, T-cell inhibitors, tumor necrosis factor inhibitors) may also be used to control severe uveitis.

Immunosuppressive therapy is typically reserved for patients who require chronic high-dose systemic steroids to control their disease. While effective, immunosuppressants may have serious and potentially life-threatening adverse effects, including renal and hepatic failure and bone marrow suppression.

Macular Edema After Retinal Vein Occlusion

Retinal vein occlusions are classified by whether the central retinal vein or one of its branches is obstructed. Central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO) and branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO) differ in pathophysiology, clinical course, and therapy. CRVOs are categorized as ischemic or nonischemic. Ischemic CRVOs are referred to as severe, complete, or total vein obstruction, and account for 20% to 25% of all CRVOs. Macular edema and permanent macular dysfunction occur in virtually all patients with ischemic CRVO, and in many patients with nonischemic CRVO. BRVO is a common retinal vascular disorder in adults between 60 and 70 years of age and occurs approximately 3 times more often than CRVO.

Treatment

Intravitreal injections of triamcinolone are used to treat macular edema associated with CRVO, with a modest beneficial effect on visual acuity. The treatment effect lasts about 6 months, and repeat injections

may be necessary. Cataracts are a common side effect, and steroid-related pressure elevation occurs in about one-third of patients, with 1% requiring filtration surgery.

Macular photocoagulation with grid laser improves vision in BRVO but is not recommended for CRVO. Although intravitreal injections of triamcinolone have also been used for BRVO, the serious adverse events have stimulated the evaluation of new treatments, including intravitreal steroid implants or the intravitreal injection of anti-vascular endothelial growth factor.

Diabetic Macular Edema

Diabetic retinopathy is a common microvascular complication of diabetes and a leading cause of blindness in adults. The 2 most serious complications for vision are diabetic macular edema (DME) and proliferative diabetic retinopathy. At its earliest stage (nonproliferative retinopathy), microaneurysms occur. As the disease progresses, blood vessels that nourish the retina are blocked, triggering the growth of new and fragile blood vessels (proliferative retinopathy). Severe vision loss with proliferative retinopathy arises from leakage of blood into the vitreous. DME is characterized by swelling of the macula due to gradual leakage of fluids from blood vessels and breakdown of the blood-retinal barrier. Moderate vision loss can arise from the fluid accumulating in the center of the macula (macular edema) during the proliferative or nonproliferative stages of the disease. Although proliferative disease is the main blinding complication of diabetic retinopathy, macular edema is more frequent and is the leading cause of moderate vision loss in people with diabetes.

Treatment

Tight glycemic and blood pressure control is the first line of treatment to control diabetic retinopathy, followed by laser photocoagulation for patients whose retinopathy is approaching the high-risk stage. Although laser photocoagulation is effective at slowing the progression of retinopathy and reducing visual loss, it does not restore lost vision. Alternatives to intravitreal implants include intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide, which is used as off-label adjunctive therapy for DME. Angiostatic agents such as injectable vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitors, which block stages in the pathway leading to new blood vessel formation (angiogenesis), have demonstrated efficacy in DME.

Age-Related Macular Degeneration

Age-related macular degeneration is a degenerative disease of the retina that results in loss of central vision with increasing age. Two different forms of degeneration, known as dry and wet, may be observed. The dry form (also known as atrophic or areolar) is more common and is often a precursor to the wet form (also known as exudative neovascular or disciform). The wet form is more devastating and characterized by serous or hemorrhagic detachment of the retinal pigment epithelium and development of choroidal neovascularization, which greatly increases the risk of developing severe irreversible loss of vision. Choroidal neovascularization is categorized as classic or occult.

Treatment

Effective specific therapies for exudative or wet age-related macular degeneration are an intravitreal injection of a vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitor, possibly thermal laser photocoagulation (in selected patients), and photodynamic therapy.

Intravitreal Implants

Intravitreal implants deliver a continuous concentration of a pharmacologic agent to the eye over a prolonged period. The goal of therapy is to reduce inflammation in the eye while minimizing the adverse events of the therapeutic regimen.

Selection of the route of corticosteroid administration (topical, systemic, periocular, or intraocular injection) is based on the cause, location, and severity of the disease. Each therapeutic approach has drawbacks. For example, topical corticosteroids require frequent (eg, hourly) administration and may not adequately penetrate the posterior segment of the eye due to their poor ability to penetrate ocular tissues. Systemically administered drugs penetrate poorly into the eye because of the blood-retinal barrier, and high-dose or long-term treatments may be necessary. Long-term systemic therapies can be associated with substantial adverse events such as hypertension and osteoporosis, while repeated (every 4-6

weeks) intraocular corticosteroid injections may result in pain, intraocular infection, globe perforation, fibrosis of the extraocular muscles, reactions to the delivery vehicle, increased intraocular pressure, and cataract development.

Corticosteroid implants are biodegradable or nonbiodegradable. Nonbiodegradable systems are thought to be preferable for treating chronic, long-term disease, while biodegradable products may be preferred for conditions that require short-term therapy. Although the continuous local release of steroid with an implant may reduce or eliminate the need for intravitreal injections and/or long-term systemic therapy, insertion or surgical implantation of the device carries risks, and the device could increase ocular toxicity due to increased corticosteroid concentrations in the eye over a longer duration. With any route of administration, cataracts are a frequent complication of long-term corticosteroid therapy.

Intraocular corticosteroid implants being evaluated include:

- Retisert (nonbiodegradable fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant; Bausch & Lomb) is a sterile implant that consists of a tablet containing fluocinolone acetonide 0.59 mg, a synthetic corticosteroid that is less soluble in aqueous solution than dexamethasone. The tablet is encased in a silicone elastomer cup with a release orifice and membrane; the entire elastomer cup assembly is attached to a suture tab. Following implantation (via pars plana incision and suturing) in the vitreous, the implant releases the active drug at a rate of 0.3 to 0.4 µg/d over 2.5 years.
- ILUVIEN (nonbiodegradable injectable intravitreal implant with fluocinolone acetonide; Alimera Sciences) is a rod-shaped device made of polyimide and polyvinyl alcohol. It is small enough to be placed using a 25-gauge applicator. It is expected to provide sustained delivery of fluocinolone acetonide for up to 3 years.
- Ozurdex (previously known as Posurdex; biodegradable dexamethasone intravitreal implant; Allergan, Irvine, CA) is composed of a biodegradable copolymer of lactic acid and glycolic acid with micronized dexamethasone. This implant is placed into the vitreous cavity through the pars plana using a customized, single-use, 22-gauge applicator. The implant provides intravitreal dexamethasone for up to 6 months. The mean number of Ozurdex injections reported in the literature is 4.2 injections per year, and more than 6 consecutive injections have been reported.^{1,2}

Summary

An intravitreal implant is a drug delivery system, injected or surgically implanted in the vitreous of the eye, for sustained release of a pharmacologic agent to the posterior and intermediate segments of the eye. Three intravitreal corticosteroid implants, ie, fluocinolone acetonide 0.59 mg (Retisert), fluocinolone acetonide 0.19 mg (Iluvien), and dexamethasone 0.7 mg (Ozurdex) are reviewed herein. Fluocinolone acetonide implants are nonerodible and deliver drug up to 30 to 36 months while dexamethasone implants are bioerodible and last up to 6 months.

Uveitis

For individuals with chronic noninfectious intermediate or posterior uveitis who receive an intravitreal fluocinolone acetonide implant (0.59 mg), the evidence includes 4 randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Two of the 4 RCTs compared 2 doses of implants, and 2 trials compared implants with systemic steroids (and immunosuppression when indicated). All trials supported the efficacy of intravitreal fluocinolone acetonide implants in preventing recurrence and improving visual acuity over 4-year follow-up. The head-to-head trial comparing implants with systemic corticosteroids did not show substantial superiority in the overall effectiveness of either approach. After 24 and 54 months of follow-up, visual acuity improved from baseline in the implant groups compared with the systematic therapy groups by +6.0 and +3.2 letters ($p=0.16$) and +2.4 and 3.1 letters ($p=0.073$), respectively. However, nearly all phakic patients receiving implants developed cataracts and required cataract surgery. Further, most also developed glaucoma, with 75% of patients requiring intraocular pressure (IOP)-lowering medications and 35% requiring filtering surgeries. Systemic adverse events such as hyperlipidemia, diabetes,

osteoporosis, fractures, and blood count/chemistry abnormalities were infrequent and not statistically distinguishable between groups. The incidence of hypertension was greater in the systemic therapy group (27%) than in the implant group (13%), but rates of antihypertensive treatment initiation did not differ. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with noninfectious intermediate or posterior uveitis who receive an intravitreal dexamethasone implant (0.7 mg), the evidence includes an RCT. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Results of this trial at 8 weeks showed that the implant was effective in reducing inflammation (the proportion of eyes with no inflammation was 47% and 12% with implant and sham, respectively) and resulted in clinically meaningful improvement in vision at week 8 compared with sham controls (the proportion of patients with a gain of ≥ 15 letters in best-corrected visual acuity from baseline was $\gg 40\%$ with implants and 10% with sham). Further, at week 26, patients treated with implants reported meaningful increases in vision-related functioning. The major limitation of this trial was its lack of long-term follow-up. Use of implants resulted in higher incidences of cataracts and elevated IOP. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

Macular Edema

For individuals with macular edema after retinal vein occlusion who receive an intravitreal dexamethasone implant (0.7 mg), the evidence includes 2 RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Compared with sham controls, implants resulted in clinically meaningful improvements in visual acuity within 1 to 3 months postimplant and improvement in vision occurred faster. The difference in the proportion of patients with gain of 15 or more letters in best-corrected visual acuity from baseline was more than 10% in favor implants vs sham in both studies at 30, 60 and 90 days, but not at 180 days postimplant. Use of implants resulted in higher incidences of cataracts and elevated IOP. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with macular edema after retinal vein occlusion who receive an intravitreal fluocinolone acetonide implant (0.59 mg), no studies were identified. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Diabetic Macular Edema

For individuals with refractory (persistent or recurrent) diabetic macular edema (DME) who receive an intravitreal fluocinolone acetonide implant (0.59 mg), the evidence includes an RCT. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Compared with the standard of care (as needed laser or observation), a greater proportion of patients with implants reported clinically significant improvement in vision at 6 months (1.4% vs 16.8% respectively) and subsequent time points assessed but not at or beyond 30 months of follow-up. Ninety percent of patients with phakic eyes who received implants required cataract surgery, and 60% developed elevated IOP. Due to the substantial increase in adverse events and availability of agents with better tolerability profiles (eg, antivascular endothelial growth factor [anti-VEGF] inhibitors), implant use in DME is questionable. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with DME who receive an intravitreal fluocinolone acetonide implant (0.19 mg), the evidence includes 2 RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Implant-treated eyes showed clinically meaningful improvements in the vision at 2 and 3 years postimplant. The percentage of patients who gained 15 letters or more was 28.7% in the implant group vs 18.9% in the sham group at 3 years. Subgroup analysis showed greater improvements in visual acuity in patients who were pseudophakic compared with those who were phakic (difference in mean change in number of letters at 2 years from baseline was 5.6 letters in pseudophakic patients vs 1 letter in phakic patients). A major limitation of these implants is that nearly 80% all phakic patients will develop cataracts and will require cataract surgery. Further, IOP was elevated in 34% of patients who received this implant compared with 10% of controls.

The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with DME who receive an intravitreal dexamethasone implant (0.7 mg), the evidence includes 3 RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Compared with sham control, 2 identically designed RCTs showed clinically meaningful improvements in vision with dexamethasone implants that peaked at 3 months and maintained 39 months (with retreatment). The difference in the proportion of patients with a gain of 15 or more letters in best-corrected visual acuity from baseline was 9.3% and 13.0% in the 2 trials, respectively, favoring implant vs sham at 39 months postimplant. Subgroup analysis of these trials showed greater improvements in visual acuity in patients who were pseudophakic compared with those who were phakic. Results of a small RCT showed that, compared with bevacizumab, implant-treated patients at 1 year had similar improvement rates on the primary end point, but experienced greater rates of vision loss (0% vs 10.9%), greater frequency of side effects such as cataracts (4.8% vs 13%) and elevated IOP (0% vs 19.6%), all respectively. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with DME who receive an intravitreal dexamethasone implant (0.7 mg) plus anti-VEGF therapy, the evidence includes an RCT. Relevant outcome are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. One small RCTs with a one-year follow-up demonstrated that combination implants plus bevacizumab compared with bevacizumab alone resulted in a similar gain in visual acuity (5.4 letters vs 4.9 letters), but a greater frequency of side effects with combined treatment. Use of dexamethasone implants resulted in higher incidence of cataracts and elevated IOP. A larger RCT with adequate power is needed to confirm these findings. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with DME who receive an intravitreal dexamethasone implant (0.7 mg) plus laser photocoagulation, the evidence includes an RCT. Relevant outcome are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. One RCT with 1-year follow-up demonstrated that combination implants plus laser photocoagulation compared with laser photocoagulation alone resulted in better visual acuity (as measured by a gain of ≥ 10 letters) at 9 months but not at 12 months. However, the generally accepted standard outcome measure for change is 15 or more letters, and this standard was not used in this trial. The use of dexamethasone implants resulted in higher incidences of cataracts and elevated IOP. Further, a differential loss to follow-up, lack of power calculations for sample size estimation, and lack of intention-to-treat analysis preclude interpretation of results. A larger RCT with adequate power is needed to confirm these findings. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Age-Related Macular Degeneration

For individuals with age-related macular degeneration who receive an intravitreal dexamethasone implant (0.7 mg) plus anti-VEGF inhibitor, the evidence includes an RCT. Relevant outcome are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Results of this trial did not demonstrate clinically meaningful reductions in the ranibizumab injection-free interval between combined treatments (34 days) and anti-VEGF alone (29 days; $p=0.016$). Further, IOP was elevated in a greater proportion of patients receiving implants without any additional clinical benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Other Conditions

For individuals with birdshot retinochoroidopathy refractory or intolerant to standard therapy who receive an intravitreal fluocinolone acetonide implant (0.59 mg) or intravitreal dexamethasone implant (0.7 mg), the evidence includes multiple observational studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Multiple observational studies have noted improvements in anatomic and visual acuity outcomes. Long-term follow-up for efficacy and safety is limited. RCTs are needed to permit conclusions on the efficacy of corticosteroid implants in patients with refractory or intolerant birdshot retinopathy. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with cystoid macular edema related to retinitis pigmentosa who receive an intravitreal dexamethasone implant (0.7 mg), the evidence includes multiple case reports. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Case reports have noted mixed results for anatomic and visual acuity outcomes. Long-term follow-up for efficacy and safety is limited. Larger RCTs are needed to permit conclusions on the efficacy of corticosteroid implants in patients with cystoid macular edema related to retinitis pigmentosa. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with idiopathic macular telangiectasia type 1 who receive an intravitreal dexamethasone implant (0.7 mg), the evidence includes multiple case reports. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Case reports have noted mixed results for visual acuity and inflammation-related outcomes. Long-term follow-up for efficacy and safety is limited. Better quality studies with long-term follow-up are needed to permit conclusions on the efficacy of corticosteroid implants in patients with idiopathic macular telangiectasia type 1. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with postoperative chronic macular edema who receive an intravitreal dexamethasone implant (0.7 mg), the evidence includes multiple observational studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Among the multiple observational studies, a large retrospective analysis of 100 patients showed that 2 of every 5 patients experienced clinically meaningful improvements in the vision at 1-year follow-up. An RCT is needed to confirm the efficacy of corticosteroid implants in patients with postoperative chronic macular edema. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with circumscribed choroidal hemangiomas who receive an intravitreal dexamethasone implant (0.7 mg) plus photodynamic therapy, the evidence includes a case report. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Results of the case report do not permit conclusions about the efficacy or safety of adding dexamethasone implants for circumscribed choroidal hemangiomas to photodynamic therapy. RCTs are needed to permit conclusions on the efficacy of corticosteroid implants in this population. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with proliferative vitreoretinopathy who receive an intravitreal dexamethasone implant (0.7 mg), the evidence includes a case series and a case report. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. These studies have reported multiple interventions, including dexamethasone implants in conjunction with surgery and laser for preventing proliferative retinopathy after retinal detachment surgery. RCTs are needed to permit conclusions on the efficacy of corticosteroid implants in patients with proliferative retinopathy. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals with radiation retinopathy who receive an intravitreal dexamethasone implant (0.7 mg), the evidence includes multiple observational studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Multiple observational studies have noted improvements in anatomic and visual acuity outcomes. Long-term follow-up for efficacy and safety is limited. RCTs are needed to permit conclusions on the efficacy of corticosteroid implants in patients with radiation retinopathy. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Policy History

Date	Action
4/2019	BCBSA National medical policy review. Description, summary and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
4/2018	New references added from BCBSA National medical policy.
3/2018	Clarified coding information.

10/2017	Clarified coding information.
8/2017	BCBSA National medical policy review. New investigational indications described. Policy statements revised to include the dose of dexamethasone intravitreal implant and fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant. Clarified coding information. Effective 8/1/2017.
7/2016	BCBSA National medical policy review. Minor corrections made to language for consistency throughout.
4/2016	New references added from BCBSA National medical policy.
1/2016	New references added from BCBSA National medical policy.
3/2015	BCBSA National medical policy review. New medically necessary indications described. Clarified coding information. Effective 3/1/2015.
5/2014	Updated Coding section with ICD10 procedure and diagnosis codes. Effective 10/2015.
5/2014	New references from BCBSA National medical policy.
5/2013	New references from BCBSA National medical policy.
2/2013	BCBSA National medical policy review. Changes to policy statement. Effective 2/4/2013.
11/2011-4/2012	Medical policy ICD 10 remediation: Formatting, editing and coding updates. No changes to policy statements.
12/1/2011	BCBSA National medical policy review. Changes to policy statement. Effective 12/1/2011.
3/1/2011	New Medical Policy 272 effective 3/1/2011 describing covered and non-covered indications.

Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:

[Medical Policy Terms of Use](#)

[Managed Care Guidelines](#)

[Indemnity/PPO Guidelines](#)

[Clinical Exception Process](#)

[Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines](#)

References

1. Haller JA, Bandello F, Belfort R, Jr., et al. Randomized, sham-controlled trial of dexamethasone intravitreal implant in patients with macular edema due to retinal vein occlusion. *Ophthalmology*. Jun 2010;117(6):1134-1146 e1133. PMID 20417567
2. Haller JA, Bandello F, Belfort R, Jr., et al. Dexamethasone intravitreal implant in patients with macular edema related to branch or central retinal vein occlusion twelve-month study results. *Ophthalmology*. Dec 2011;118(12):2453-2460. PMID 21764136
3. Bausch & Lomb Incorporated. Retisert® (fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant) 0.59 mg: Prescribing Label. 2012; <http://www.bausch.com/Portals/107/-/m/BL/United%20States/USFiles/Package%20Inserts/Pharma/retisert-prescribing-information.pdf>. Accessed February 21, 2018.
4. Jaffe GJ, Martin D, Callanan D, et al. Fluocinolone acetonide implant (Retisert) for noninfectious posterior uveitis: thirty-four-week results of a multicenter randomized clinical study. *Ophthalmology*. Jun 2006;113(6):1020-1027. PMID 16690128
5. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Application number 21-737, Medical Review. 2005; http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2005/021737s000_MedR.pdf. Accessed February 21, 2018.
6. Pavesio C, Zierhut M, Bairi K, et al. Evaluation of an intravitreal fluocinolone acetonide implant versus standard systemic therapy in noninfectious posterior uveitis. *Ophthalmology*. Mar 2010;117(3):567-575, 575 e561. PMID 20079922

7. Brady CJ, Villanti AC, Law HA, et al. Corticosteroid implants for chronic non-infectious uveitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Feb 12 2016;2:CD010469. PMID 26866343
8. Kempen JH, Altaweel MM, Holbrook JT, et al. Randomized comparison of systemic anti-inflammatory therapy versus fluocinolone acetonide implant for intermediate, posterior, and panuveitis: the multicenter uveitis steroid treatment trial. *Ophthalmology*. Oct 2011;118(10):1916-1926. PMID 21840602
9. Multicenter Uveitis Steroid Treatment Trial Research Group. Benefits of systemic anti-inflammatory therapy versus fluocinolone acetonide intraocular implant for intermediate uveitis, posterior uveitis, and panuveitis: fifty-four-month results of the Multicenter Uveitis Steroid Treatment (MUST) trial and follow-up study. *Ophthalmology*. Oct 2015;122(10):1967-1975. PMID 26298715
10. Multicenter Uveitis Steroid Treatment Trial Follow-up Study Research Group. Quality of life and risks associated with systemic anti-inflammatory therapy versus fluocinolone acetonide intraocular implant for intermediate uveitis, posterior uveitis, or panuveitis: fifty-four-month results of the Multicenter Uveitis Steroid Treatment trial and follow-up study. *Ophthalmology*. Oct 2015;122(10):1976-1986. PMID 26298718
11. Holbrook JT, Sugar EA, Burke AE, et al. Dissociations of the Fluocinolone Acetonide Implant: The Multicenter Uveitis Steroid Treatment (MUST) Trial and Follow-up Study. *Am J Ophthalmol*. Apr 2016;164:29-36. PMID 26748056
12. Lowder C, Belfort R, Jr., Lightman S, et al. Dexamethasone intravitreal implant for noninfectious intermediate or posterior uveitis. *Arch Ophthalmol*. Jan 10 2011;129(5):545-553. PMID 21220619
13. Lightman S, Belfort R, Jr., Naik RK, et al. Vision-related functioning outcomes of dexamethasone intravitreal implant in noninfectious intermediate or posterior uveitis. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. Jul 2013;54(7):4864-4870. PMID 23761087
14. Gillespie BW, Musch DC, Niziol LM, et al. Estimating minimally important differences for two vision-specific quality of life measures. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. Jun 06 2014;55(7):4206-4212. PMID 24906863
15. Allergan Inc. Ozurdex® (dexamethasone intravitreal implant): Prescribing Label 2014; http://www.allergan.com/assets/pdf/ozurdex_pi.pdf. Accessed February 21, 2018.
16. Yeh S, Kim SJ, Ho AC, et al. Therapies for macular edema associated with central retinal vein occlusion: a report by the American Academy of Ophthalmology. *Ophthalmology*. Apr 2015;122(4):769-778. PMID 25576994
17. Kuppermann BD, Blumenkranz MS, Haller JA, et al. Randomized controlled study of an intravitreal dexamethasone drug delivery system in patients with persistent macular edema. *Arch Ophthalmol*. Mar 2007;125(3):309-317. PMID 17353400
18. Pichi F, Specchia C, Vitale L, et al. Combination therapy with dexamethasone intravitreal implant and macular grid laser in patients with branch retinal vein occlusion. *Am J Ophthalmol*. Mar 2014;157(3):607-615 e601. PMID 24528934
19. Maturi RK, Chen V, Raghinaru D, et al. A 6-month, subject-masked, randomized controlled study to assess efficacy of dexamethasone as an adjunct to bevacizumab compared with bevacizumab alone in the treatment of patients with macular edema due to central or branch retinal vein occlusion. *Clin Ophthalmol*. Jun 2014;8:1057-1064. PMID 24940042
20. Grover D, Li TJ, Chong CC. Intravitreal steroids for macular edema in diabetes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Jan 23 2008(1):CD005656. PMID 18254088
21. Pearson PA, Comstock TL, Ip M, et al. Fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant for diabetic macular edema: a 3-year multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial. *Ophthalmology*. Aug 2011;118(8):1580-1587. PMID 21813090
22. Campochiaro PA, Brown DM, Pearson A, et al. Long-term benefit of sustained-delivery fluocinolone acetonide vitreous inserts for diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. Apr 2011;118(4):626-635 e622. PMID 21459216
23. Alimera Sciences Inc. Iluvien® (fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant) 0.19 mg for Intravitreal Injection: Prescribing Label. 2014; http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2014/201923s000lbl.pdf. Accessed February 21, 2018.
24. Campochiaro PA, Brown DM, Pearson A, et al. Sustained delivery fluocinolone acetonide vitreous inserts provide benefit for at least 3 years in patients with diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. Oct 2012;119(10):2125-2132. PMID 22727177

25. Cunha-Vaz J, Ashton P, Iezzi R, et al. Sustained delivery fluocinolone acetonide vitreous implants: long-term benefit in patients with chronic diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. Oct 2014;121(10):1892-1903. PMID 24935282
26. Massin P, Erginay A, Dupas B, et al. Efficacy and safety of sustained-delivery fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant in patients with chronic diabetic macular edema insufficiently responsive to available therapies: a real-life study. *Clin Ophthalmol*. Jul 2016;10:1257-1264. PMID 27468222
27. Boyer DS, Yoon YH, Belfort R, Jr., et al. Three-year, randomized, sham-controlled trial of dexamethasone intravitreal implant in patients with diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. Oct 2014;121(10):1904-1914. PMID 24907062
28. Maturi RK, Bleau L, Saunders J, et al. A 12-month, single-masked, randomized controlled study of eyes with persistent diabetic macular edema after multiple anti-VEGF injections to assess the efficacy of the dexamethasone-delayed delivery system as an adjunct to bevacizumab compared with continued bevacizumab monotherapy. *Retina*. Mar 30 2015;35(8):1604-1614. PMID 25829346
29. Callanan DG, Gupta S, Boyer DS, et al. Dexamethasone intravitreal implant in combination with laser photocoagulation for the treatment of diffuse diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. Sep 2013;120(9):1843-1851. PMID 23706947
30. Kuppermann BD, Goldstein M, Maturi RK, et al. Dexamethasone intravitreal implant as adjunctive therapy to ranibizumab in neovascular age-related macular degeneration: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Ophthalmologica*. Sep 2015;234(1):40-54. PMID 26088793
31. Bajwa A, Aziz K, Foster CS. Safety and efficacy of fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant (0.59 mg) in birdshot retinochoroidopathy. *Retina*. Nov 2014;34(11):2259-2268. PMID 24999722
32. Burkholder BM, Wang J, Dunn JP, et al. Postoperative outcomes after fluocinolone acetonide implant surgery in patients with birdshot chorioretinitis and other types of posterior and panuveitis. *Retina*. Sep 2013;33(8):1684-1693. PMID 23549097
33. Ornek N, Ornek K, Erbahceci IE. Intravitreal dexamethasone implant (Ozurdex) for refractory macular edema secondary to retinitis pigmentosa. *Turk J Ophthalmol*. Aug 2016;46(4):179-181. PMID 28058154
34. Buchaim G, Rezende MP, Maia M. [Intravitreal implantation of Ozurdex(R) chronic delivery system for management of macular edema related to retinitis pigmentosa: case report]. *Arq Bras Oftalmol*. Nov-Dec 2013;76(6):377-379. PMID 24510088
35. Ahn SJ, Kim KE, Woo SJ, et al. The effect of an intravitreal dexamethasone implant for cystoid macular edema in retinitis pigmentosa: a case report and literature review. *Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging Retina*. Mar-Apr 2014;45(2):160-164. PMID 24506098
36. Patil L, Lotery AJ. Coat's-like exudation in rhodopsin retinitis pigmentosa: successful treatment with an intravitreal dexamethasone implant. *Eye (Lond)*. Apr 2014;28(4):449-451. PMID 24458202
37. Saatci AO, Selver OB, Seymenoglu G, et al. Bilateral intravitreal dexamethasone implant for retinitis pigmentosa-related macular edema. *Case Rep Ophthalmol*. Jan 2013;4(1):53-58. PMID 23616764
38. Srour M, Querques G, Leveziel N, et al. Intravitreal dexamethasone implant (Ozurdex) for macular edema secondary to retinitis pigmentosa. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. Jun 2013;251(6):1501-1506. PMID 23275039
39. Erdogan G, Aydogan T, Unlu C, et al. Dexamethasone Implant for the treatment of type 1 idiopathic macular telangiectasia. *J Ocul Pharmacol Ther*. May 2016;32(4):211-215. PMID 26985700
40. Lei S, Lam WC. Efficacy and safety of dexamethasone intravitreal implant for refractory macular edema in children. *Can J Ophthalmol*. Jun 2015;50(3):236-241. PMID 26040225
41. Loutfi M, Papatthomas T, Kamal A. Macular oedema related to idiopathic macular telangiectasia type 1 treated with dexamethasone intravitreal implant (ozurdex). *Case Rep Ophthalmol Med*. 2014;2014:231913. PMID 25045562
42. Klamann A, Bottcher K, Ackermann P, et al. Intravitreal dexamethasone implant for the treatment of postoperative macular edema. *Ophthalmologica*. Dec 2016;236(4):181-185. PMID 27915343
43. Sudhalkar A, Chhablani J, Vasavada A, et al. Intravitreal dexamethasone implant for recurrent cystoid macular edema due to Irvine-Gass syndrome: a prospective case series. *Eye (Lond)*. Dec 2016;30(12):1549-1557. PMID 27858937
44. Keilani C, Halalchi A, Wakpi Djeugue D, et al. Evaluation of best corrected visual acuity and central macular thickness after intravitreal dexamethasone implant injections in patients with Irvine-Gass syndrome: A retrospective study of six cases. *Therapie*. Oct 2016;71(5):457-465. PMID 27203164

45. Mayer WJ, Kurz S, Wolf A, et al. Dexamethasone implant as an effective treatment option for macular edema due to Irvine-Gass syndrome. *J Cataract Refract Surg.* Sep 2015;41(9):1954-1961. PMID 26603404
46. Landre C, Zourdani A, Gastaud P, et al. [Treatment of postoperative cystoid macular edema (Irvine-Gass syndrome) with dexamethasone 0.7 mg intravitreal implant] [French]. *J Fr Ophtalmol.* Jan 2016;39(1):5-11. PMID 26520410
47. Degoumois A, Akesbi J, Laurens C, et al. [Efficacy of intravitreal dexamethasone implants in macular edema excluding venous occlusions: results for a cohort of 80 patients] [French]. *J Fr Ophtalmol.* Feb 2015;38(2):126-133. PMID 25592383
48. Dutra Medeiros M, Navarro R, Garcia-Arumi J, et al. Dexamethasone intravitreal implant for treatment of patients with recalcitrant macular edema resulting from Irvine-Gass syndrome. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* May 07 2013;54(5):3320-3324. PMID 23599334
49. Bellocq D, Pierre-Kahn V, Matonti F, et al. Effectiveness and safety of dexamethasone implants for postsurgical macular oedema including Irvine-Gass syndrome: the EPISODIC-2 study. *Br J Ophthalmol.* Mar 2017;101(3):333-341. PMID 27190126
50. Bazin L, Gambrelle J. [Combined treatment with photodynamic therapy and intravitreal dexamethasone implant (Ozurdex((R))) for circumscribed choroidal hemangioma] [French]. *J Fr Ophtalmol.* Dec 2012;35(10):798-802. PMID 23040445
51. Sherif M, Wolfensberger TJ. Intracocular dexamethasone implant as adjunct to silicone oil tamponade for proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Klin Monbl Augenheilkd.* Apr 2017;234(4):501-504. PMID 28147403
52. Reibaldi M, Russo A, Longo A, et al. Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment with a high risk of proliferative vitreoretinopathy treated with episcleral surgery and an intravitreal dexamethasone 0.7-mg implant. *Case Rep Ophthalmol.* Jan 2013;4(1):79-83. PMID 23687501
53. Caminal JM, Flores-Moreno I, Arias L, et al. Intravitreal dexamethasone implant for radiation maculopathy secondary to plaque brachytherapy in choroidal melanoma. *Retina.* Sep 2015;35(9):1890-1897. PMID 26035401
54. Bui KM, Chow CC, Mieler WF. Treatment of recalcitrant radiation maculopathy using intravitreal dexamethasone (Ozurdex) implant. *Retin Cases Brief Rep.* Summer 2014;8(3):167-170. PMID 25372430
55. Baillif S, Maschi C, Gastaud P, et al. Intravitreal dexamethasone 0.7-mg implant for radiation macular edema after proton beam therapy for choroidal melanoma. *Retina.* Oct 2013;33(9):1784-1790. PMID 23652581
56. Pulido JS, Flaxel CJ, Adelman RA, et al. Retinal vein occlusions Preferred Practice Pattern((R)) Guidelines. *Ophthalmology.* Jan 2016;123(1):P182-208. PMID 26581559
57. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Adalimumab and dexamethasone for treating non-infectious uveitis [TA460]. 2017; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta460>. Accessed February 22, 2017.
58. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Dexamethasone intravitreal implant for the treatment of macular oedema secondary to retinal vein occlusion [TA229]. 2011; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta229>. Accessed February 21, 2018.
59. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Fluocinolone acetonide intravitreal implant for treating chronic diabetic macular oedema after an inadequate response to prior therapy [TA301]. 2013; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta301>. Accessed February 21, 2018.