Medical Policy
Endovascular Procedures for Intracranial Arterial Disease
(Atherosclerosis and Aneurysms)
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Policy Number: 323
BCBSA Reference Number: 2.01.54
NCD/LCD: National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Percutaneous Transluminal Angioplasty (PTA) (20.7)

Related Policies
• Carotid, Vertebral and Intracranial Artery Stent Placement with or without Angioplasty, #219

Policy
Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity

Intracranial stent placement may be considered MEDICALLY NECESSARY as part of the endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms for patients when surgical treatment is not appropriate and standard endovascular techniques do not allow for complete isolation of the aneurysm, eg, wide-neck aneurysms (≥4 mm) or a sack-to-neck ratio less than 2:1.

Intracranial flow diverting stents with U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of intracranial aneurysms may be considered MEDICALLY NECESSARY as part of the endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms that meet anatomic criteria* and are not amenable to surgical treatment or standard endovascular therapy.

*Flow-diverting stents are indicated for the treatment of large or giant wide-necked intracranial aneurysms, with a size of 10 mm or more and a neck diameter of 4 mm or more, in the internal carotid artery from the petrous to the superior hypophyseal segments.

Intracranial stent placement is considered INVESTIGATIONAL in the treatment of intracranial aneurysms except as noted above.

Intracranial percutaneous transluminal angioplasty with or without stenting is considered INVESTIGATIONAL in the treatment of atherosclerotic cerebrovascular disease.
The use of endovascular mechanical embolectomy using a device with FDA approval for the treatment of acute ischemic stroke may be considered **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** as part of the treatment of acute ischemic stroke for patients who meet all of the following criteria:

- Have a demonstrated occlusion within the proximal intracranial anterior circulation (intracranial internal carotid artery, or M1 or M2 segments of the middle cerebral artery, or A1 or A2 segments of the anterior cerebral artery); AND
- Can receive endovascular mechanical embolectomy within 12 hours of symptom onset OR within 24 hours of symptom onset if there is evidence of a mismatch between specific clinical and imaging criteria; AND
- Have evidence of substantial and clinically significant neurological deficits; AND
- Have evidence of salvageable brain tissue in the affected vascular territory; AND
- Have no evidence of intracranial hemorrhage or arterial dissection on computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging.

Endovascular interventions are considered **INVESTIGATIONAL** for the treatment of acute ischemic stroke when the above criteria are not met.

This policy only addresses endovascular therapies used on intracranial vessels.

**Medicare HMO Blue℠ and Medicare PPO Blue℠ Members**

Medical necessity criteria and coding guidance can be found through the link(s) below.

**National Coverage Determinations (NCDs)**

National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Percutaneous Transluminal Angioplasty (PTA) (20.7)

**Note:** To review the specific NCD, please remember to click “accept” on the CMS licensing agreement at the bottom of the CMS webpage.

**Prior Authorization Information**

**Inpatient**
- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

**Outpatient**
- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outpatient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior authorization is <strong>not required</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial PPO and Indemnity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior authorization is <strong>not required</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medicare HMO Blue℠</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior authorization is <strong>not required</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medicare PPO Blue℠</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior authorization is <strong>not required</strong>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes**

Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member’s contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

The following codes are included below for informational purposes only; this is not an all-inclusive list.
The above medical necessity criteria MUST be met for the following codes to be covered for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:

**CPT Codes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPT codes:</th>
<th>Code Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>61630</td>
<td>Balloon angioplasty, intracranial (e.g., atherosclerotic stenosis), percutaneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61635</td>
<td>Transcatheter placement of intravascular stent(s), intracranial (e.g., atherosclerotic stenosis), including balloon angioplasty, if performed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61645</td>
<td>Percutaneous arterial transluminal mechanical thrombectomy and/or infusion for thrombolysis, intracranial, any method, including diagnostic angiography, fluoroscopic guidance, catheter placement, and intraprocedural pharmacological thrombolytic injection(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

**Cerebrovascular Diseases**

Cerebrovascular diseases include a range of processes affecting the cerebral vascular system, including arterial thromboembolism, arterial stenosis, and arterial aneurysms, all of which can restrict cerebral blood flow due to ischemia or hemorrhage. Endovascular techniques, including endovascular mechanical embolectomy with various devices types of devices (ie, stents), and angioplasty with or without stenting have been investigated for the treatment of cerebrovascular diseases.

**Acute Stroke**

Acute stroke is the third leading cause of death in the United States, Canada, Europe, and Japan; further, it is the leading cause of adult disability in the United States.¹ Eighty-seven percent of strokes are ischemic and 13% hemorrhagic. Differentiation between the two types of stroke is necessary to determine the appropriate treatment. Ischemic stroke occurs when an artery to the brain is blocked by a blood clot, which forms in the artery (thrombotic), or when another substance (ie, plaque, fatty material) travels to an artery in the brain causing a blockage (embolism). Recanalization of the artery, particularly in the first few hours after occlusion, reduces rates of disability and death.²

**Intracranial Arterial Stenosis**

It is estimated that intracranial atherosclerosis causes about 8% of all ischemic strokes. Intracranial stenosis may contribute to stroke in two ways: either due to embolism or low-flow ischemia in the absence of collateral circulation. Recurrent annual stroke rates are estimated at 4% to 12% per year with atherosclerosis of the intracranial anterior circulation and 2.5% to 15% per year with lesions of the posterior (vertebrobasilar) circulation.

**Intracranial Aneurysms**

Compared with acute ischemic stroke, cerebral aneurysms have a much lower incidence in the United States, with prevalence between 0.5% and 6% of the population.³ However, they are associated with significant morbidity and mortality due to subarachnoid hemorrhage resulting from aneurysm rupture.

**Summary**

Intracranial arterial disease includes thromboembolic events, vascular stenoses, and aneurysms. Endovascular techniques have been investigated for the treatment of intracranial arterial disease. Endovascular therapy is used as an alternative or adjunct to intravenous tissue plasminogen activator and supportive care for acute stenosis and as an adjunct to risk-factor modification for chronic stenosis. For cerebral aneurysms, stent-assisted coiling and the use of flow-diverting stents have been evaluated as an alternative to endovascular coiling in patients whose anatomy is not amenable to simple coiling.
For individuals who have acute ischemic stroke due to occlusion of an anterior circulation vessel who receive endovascular mechanical embolectomy, the evidence includes randomized clinical trials (RCTs) comparing endovascular therapy with standard care and systematic reviews of these RCTs. The relevant outcomes are overall survival (OS), morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. From 2013 to 2015, 8 RCTs were published comparing endovascular therapies with noninterventional care for acute stroke in patients with anterior circulation occlusions. Several trials that were ongoing at the time of publication of these eight RCTs were stopped early and results with the limited enrollment have been published. Trials published from 2014 to 2015 demonstrated a significant benefit regarding reduced disability at 90 days posttreatment. The trials that demonstrated a benefit for endovascular therapy either exclusively used stent retriever devices or allowed the treating physician to select a device, mostly a stent retriever device, and had high rates of mechanical embolectomy device use in patients randomized to endovascular therapy. Studies that demonstrated a benefit for endovascular therapy required demonstration of a large vessel, anterior circulation occlusion for enrollment. Also, they were characterized by fast time-to-treatment. Two trials published in 2018 demonstrated that it was possible to extend the window for mechanical thrombectomy up to about 24 hours for select patients. To achieve results in real-world settings similar to those in the clinical trials, treatment times, clinical protocols, and patient selection criteria should be similar to those in the RCTs. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have acute ischemic stroke due to basilar artery occlusion who receive endovascular mechanical embolectomy, the evidence includes a nonrandomized comparative study and several case series. The relevant outcomes are OS, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The evidence includes an RCT, several nonrandomized comparative studies and case series. The available evidence from these studies has indicated that high rates of recanalization can be achieved with mechanical thrombectomy. However, additional comparative studies are needed to demonstrate that mechanical thrombectomy is superior to standard therapy. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have symptomatic intracranial arterial stenosis who receive intracranial percutaneous transluminal angioplasty with or without stenting, the evidence includes two RCTs and a number of nonrandomized comparative studies and case series. The relevant outcomes are OS, symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Both available RCTs have demonstrated no significant benefit with endovascular therapy. In particular, the Stenting and Aggressive Medical Management for Preventing Recurrent Stroke in Intracranial Stenosis (SAMMPRIS) trial was stopped early due to harms, because the rate of stroke or death at 30 days posttreatment was higher in the endovascular arm, which received percutaneous angioplasty with stenting. Follow-up of SAMMPRIS subjects has demonstrated no long-term benefit from endovascular therapy. Although some nonrandomized studies have suggested a benefit from endovascular therapy, the available evidence from two RCTs does not suggest that intracranial percutaneous transluminal angioplasty with or without stenting improves outcomes for individuals with symptomatic intracranial stenosis. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology is unlikely to improve the net health outcome.

For individuals who have intracranial aneurysm(s) who receive endovascular coiling with intracranial stent placement or intracranial placement of a flow-diverting stent, the evidence includes an RCT, several nonrandomized comparative studies, and multiple single-arm studies. The relevant outcomes are OS, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The available nonrandomized comparative studies have reported occlusion rates for stent-assisted coiling that are similar to or higher than coiling alone and recurrence rates that may be lower than those for coiling alone. For stent-assisted coiling with self-expanding stents, some evidence has also shown that adverse event rates are relatively high, and a nonrandomized comparative trial has reported that mortality is higher with stent-assisted coiling than with coiling alone. For placement of flow-diverting stents, a pragmatic RCT and registry study have compared flow diversion with standard management (observation, coil embolization, or parent vessel occlusion) in patients for whom flow diversion was considered a promising treatment. The pragmatic study was stopped early after crossing a predefined safety boundary when 16% of patients treated with flow diversion were dead or dependent at three months or later. Flow diversion was also not
as effective as the investigators had hypothesized. A nonrandomized study comparing the flow-diverting stents with endovascular coiling for intracranial aneurysms has demonstrated higher rates of aneurysm obliteration in those treated with the Pipeline endovascular device than those treated with coiling, with similar rates of good clinical outcomes. The evidence does not provide high certainty whether stent-assisted coiling or placement of a flow-diverting stent improves outcomes for patients with intracranial aneurysms because the risk-benefit ratio cannot be adequately defined. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Clinical input obtained in 2011 indicated strong support for the use of stent-assisted coiling for the treatment of aneurysms that are not amenable to surgery or simple coiling. Clinical input obtained in 2014 indicated general support for the use of flow-diverting stents for certain types of aneurysms when surgical treatment is not appropriate.

**Policy History**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/2017</td>
<td>New references added from BCBSA National medical policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/2016</td>
<td>Clarified coding language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2016</td>
<td>New references added from BCBSA National medical policy.</td>
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**Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies**

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:
- Medical Policy Terms of Use
- Managed Care Guidelines
- Indemnity/PPO Guidelines
- Clinical Exception Process
- Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines
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