



MASSACHUSETTS

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts is an Independent Licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Medical Policy

Cranial Electrotherapy Stimulation and Auricular Electrostimulation

Table of Contents

- [Policy: Commercial](#)
- [Policy: Medicare](#)
- [Authorization Information](#)
- [Coding Information](#)
- [Description](#)
- [Policy History](#)
- [Information Pertaining to All Policies](#)
- [References](#)

Policy Number: 362

BCBSA Reference Number: 8.01.58

NCD/LCD: N/A

Related Policies

- Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS), #[003](#)
- Percutaneous Electrical nerve Stimulation (PENS) and Percutaneous Neuromodulation Therapy (PNT), #[172](#)
- Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation as a Treatment of Depression and Other Psychiatric/Neurologic Disorders, #[297](#)

Policy

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity Medicare HMO BlueSM and Medicare PPO BlueSM Members

Cranial electrotherapy stimulation (also known as cranial electrostimulation therapy) is **INVESTIGATIONAL** in all situations.

Electrical stimulation of auricular acupuncture points is **INVESTIGATIONAL** in all situations.

Prior Authorization Information

Inpatient

- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

Outpatient

- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

| | Outpatient |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS) | This is not a covered service. |
| Commercial PPO and Indemnity | This is not a covered service. |
| Medicare HMO Blue SM | This is not a covered service. |
| Medicare PPO Blue SM | This is not a covered service. |

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

CPT Codes

There is no CPT code for this service.

HCPCS Codes

| HCPCS codes: | Code Description |
|--------------|---|
| S8930 | Electrical stimulation of auricular acupuncture points; each 15 minutes of personal one-on-one contact with the patient |

Description

Cranial electrotherapy stimulation (CES), also known as cranial electrical stimulation, transcranial electrical stimulation, or electrical stimulation therapy, delivers weak pulses of electrical current to the earlobes, mastoid processes, or scalp with devices such as the Alpha-Stim. Auricular electrostimulation involves stimulation of acupuncture points on the ear. Devices, including the P-Stim and E-pulse, provide ambulatory auricular electrical stimulation over a period of several days. CES and auricular electrostimulation are being evaluated for a variety of conditions, including pain, insomnia, depression, anxiety, weight loss, and opioid withdrawal.

Interest in CES began in the early 1900s on the theory that weak pulses of electrical current have a calming effect on the central nervous system. The technique was further developed in the U.S.S.R. and Eastern Europe in the 1950s as a treatment for anxiety and depression and use of CES later spread to Western Europe and the United States as a treatment for various psychological and physiological conditions. Presently, the mechanism of action is thought to be the modulation of activity in brain networks by direct action in the hypothalamus, limbic system, and/or the reticular activating system. One device used in the United States is the Alpha-Stim CES, which provides pulsed, low-intensity current via clip electrodes that attach to the earlobes. Other devices place the electrodes on the eyelids, frontal scalp, mastoid processes, or behind the ears. Treatments may be administered once or twice daily for several days to several weeks.

Other devices provide electrical stimulation to auricular acupuncture sites over several days. One device, the P-Stim, is a single-use miniature electrical stimulator for auricular acupuncture points that is worn behind the ear with a self-adhesive electrode patch. A selection stylus that measures electrical resistance is used to identify three auricular acupuncture points. The P-Stim device connects to 3 inserted acupuncture needles with caps and wires. The device is preprogrammed to be on for 180 minutes, then off for 180 minutes. The maximum battery life of this single-use device is 96 hours.

Summary

Cranial electrotherapy stimulation (CES), also known as cranial electrical stimulation, transcranial electrical stimulation, or electrical stimulation therapy, delivers weak pulses of electrical current to the earlobes, mastoid processes, or scalp with devices such as the Alpha-Stim. Auricular electrostimulation involves stimulation of acupuncture points on the ear. Devices, including the P-Stim and E-pulse, provide ambulatory auricular electrical stimulation over a period of several days. CES is being evaluated for a variety of conditions, including pain, insomnia, depression, anxiety, and functional constipation. Auricular electrical stimulation is being evaluated for pain, weight loss, and opioid withdrawal.

Cranial Electrotherapy Stimulation

For individuals who have acute or chronic pain who receive CES, the evidence includes a number of small sham-controlled randomized trials, and pooled analyses. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. Three trials studied headache and CES, and 5 trials studied chronic pain and CES. Pooled analyses found marginal benefits for a headache with CES and no benefits for chronic pain with CES. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have psychiatric, behavioral, or neurologic conditions (eg, depression and anxiety, Parkinson disease, addiction) who receive CES, the evidence includes a number of small sham-controlled randomized trials. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. Three randomized controlled trials (RCTs) evaluated CES for depression and anxiety and reported inconsistent outcomes. Comparisons between these trials cannot be made due to the heterogeneity in study populations and treatment protocols. Studies evaluating CES for Parkinson disease and smoking cessation do not support the use of CES for these conditions. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have functional constipation who receive CES, the evidence includes an RCT. Relevant outcome are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. The single RCT reported positive results for the treatment of constipation with CES. However, the trial was unblinded, and most outcomes were self-reported. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Auricular Electrostimulation

For individuals who have acute or chronic pain (eg, acute pain from surgical procedures, chronic back pain, chronic pain from osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis) who receive auricular electrostimulation, the evidence includes a limited number of trials. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. Studies evaluating the effect of electrostimulation technology on acute pain are inconsistent, and the small amount of evidence on chronic pain has methodologic limitations. For example, a comparison of auricular electrostimulation with manual acupuncture for chronic low back pain did not include a sham-control group, and, in a study of rheumatoid arthritis, auricular electrostimulation was compared with autogenic training and resulted in a small improvement in visual analog scale pain scores of unclear clinical significance. Overall, the few published studies have small sample sizes and methodologic limitations. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have obesity who receive auricular electrostimulation, the evidence includes small RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. The RCTs reported inconsistent results and used different treatment protocols. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have opioid withdrawal symptoms who receive auricular electrostimulation, the evidence includes 2 case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, functional outcomes, and treatment-related morbidity. Both case series report positive outcomes for the use of CES to treat opioid withdrawal symptoms. The studies used different treatment protocols and no comparators, limiting conclusions drawn from the results. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Policy History

| Date | Action |
|-------------|---|
| 4/2019 | BCBSA National medical policy review. Description, summary and references updated. Policy statement(s) unchanged. |
| 8/2018 | New references added from BCBSA National medical policy. |
| 3/2017 | New references added from BCBSA National medical policy. |
| 3/2016 | New references added from BCBSA National medical policy. |
| 10/2014 | New references added from BCBSA National medical policy. |

| | |
|----------|--|
| 4/2013 | BCBSA National medical policy review. Changes to policy statement. Effective 4/1/2013. |
| 9/1/2012 | New policy describing ongoing non-coverage. |

Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:

[Medical Policy Terms of Use](#)

[Managed Care Guidelines](#)

[Indemnity/PPO Guidelines](#)

[Clinical Exception Process](#)

[Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines](#)

References

1. Klawansky S, Yeung A, Berkey C, et al. Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of cranial electrostimulation. Efficacy in treating selected psychological and physiological conditions. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* Jul 1995;183(7):478-484. PMID 7623022
2. Bronfort G, Nilsson N, Haas M, et al. Non-invasive physical treatments for chronic/recurrent headache. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Jul 2004(3):CD001878. PMID 15266458
3. O'Connell NE, Wand BM, Marston L, et al. Non-invasive brain stimulation techniques for chronic pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Apr 11 2014;4(4):CD008208. PMID 24729198
4. Kavirajan HC, Lueck K, Chuang K. Alternating current cranial electrotherapy stimulation (CES) for depression. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Jul 8 2014;7:CD010521. PMID 25000907
5. Barclay TH, Barclay RD. A clinical trial of cranial electrotherapy stimulation for anxiety and comorbid depression. *J Affect Disord.* Aug 2014;164:171-177. PMID 24856571
6. Mischoulon D, De Jong MF, Vitolo OV, et al. Efficacy and safety of a form of cranial electrical stimulation (CES) as an add-on intervention for treatment-resistant major depressive disorder: A three week double blind pilot study. *J Psychiatr Res.* Nov 2015;70:98-105. PMID 26424428
7. Lyon D, Kelly D, Walter J, et al. Randomized sham controlled trial of cranial microcurrent stimulation for symptoms of depression, anxiety, pain, fatigue and sleep disturbances in women receiving chemotherapy for early-stage breast cancer. *Springerplus.* Oct 2015;4:369. PMID 26435889 Shill HA, Obradov S, Katsnelson Y, et al. A randomized, double-blind trial of transcranial electrostimulation in early Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord.* Jul 2011;26(8):1477-1480. PMID 21538515
8. Pickworth WB, Fant RV, Butschky MF, et al. Evaluation of cranial electrostimulation therapy on short-term smoking cessation. *Biol Psychiatry.* Jul 15 1997;42(2):116-121. PMID 9209728
9. Gong BY, Ma HM, Zang XY, et al. Efficacy of cranial electrotherapy stimulation combined with biofeedback therapy in patients with functional constipation. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil.* Jul 30 2016;22(3):497-508. PMID 26932836
10. Sator-Katzenschlager SM, Michalek-Sauberer A. P-Stim auricular electroacupuncture stimulation device for pain relief. *Expert Rev Med Devices.* Jan 2007;4(1):23-32. PMID 17187468
11. Holzer A, Leitgeb U, Spacek A, et al. Auricular acupuncture for postoperative pain after gynecological surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *Minerva Anesthesiol.* Mar 2011;77(3):298-304. PMID 21441884
12. Sator-Katzenschlager SM, Scharbert G, Kozek-Langenecker SA, et al. The short- and long-term benefit in chronic low back pain through adjuvant electrical versus manual auricular acupuncture. *Anesth Analg.* May 2004;98(5):1359-1364, table of contents. PMID 15105215
13. Sator-Katzenschlager SM, Szeles JC, Scharbert G, et al. Electrical stimulation of auricular acupuncture points is more effective than conventional manual auricular acupuncture in chronic cervical pain: a pilot study. *Anesth Analg.* Nov 2003;97(5):1469-1473. PMID 14570667
14. Bernateck M, Becker M, Schwake C, et al. Adjuvant auricular electroacupuncture and autogenic training in rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized controlled trial. *Auricular acupuncture and autogenic training in rheumatoid arthritis. Forsch Komplementmed.* Aug 2008;15(4):187-193. PMID 18787327
15. Kim SY, Shin IS, Park YJ. Effect of acupuncture and intervention types on weight loss: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev.* Nov 2018;19(11):1585-1596. PMID 30180304
16. Schukro RP, Heiserer C, Michalek-Sauberer A, et al. The effects of auricular electroacupuncture on obesity in female patients--a prospective randomized placebo-controlled pilot study. *Complement Ther Med.* Feb 2014;22(1):21-25. PMID 24559812

17. Yeh ML, Chu NF, Hsu MY, et al. Acupoint stimulation on weight reduction for obesity: a randomized sham-controlled study. *West J Nurs Res.* Dec 2015;37(12):1517-1530. PMID 25183702
18. Kroening RJ, Oleson TD. Rapid narcotic detoxification in chronic pain patients treated with auricular electroacupuncture and naloxone. *Int J Addict.* Sep 1985;20(9):1347-1360. PMID 2867052
19. Miranda A, Taca A. Neuromodulation with percutaneous electrical nerve field stimulation is associated with reduction in signs and symptoms of opioid withdrawal: a multisite, retrospective assessment. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse.* 2018;44(1):56-63. PMID 28301217