



MASSACHUSETTS

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts is an Independent Licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Medical Policy Cochlear Implant

Table of Contents

- [Policy: Commercial](#)
- [Policy: Medicare](#)
- [Authorization Information](#)
- [Coding Information](#)
- [Description](#)
- [Policy History](#)
- [Information Pertaining to All Policies](#)
- [References](#)

Policy Number: 478

BCBSA Reference Number: 7.01.05

NCD/LCD: National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Cochlear Implantation (50.3)

Related Policies

- Auditory Brainstem Implant, [#481](#)
- Implantable Bone-Conduction and Bone-Anchored Hearing Aids, [#479](#)
- Semi-Implantable and Fully Implantable Middle Ear Hearing Aid, [#480](#)
- Treatment of Tinnitus, [#267](#)

Policy

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity

Bilateral or unilateral cochlear implantation of a U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved cochlear implant device may be **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** in patients age 9 months and older with bilateral severe-to-profound pre-or postlingual (sensorineural) hearing loss, defined as a hearing threshold of pure-tone average of 70 dB (decibels) hearing loss or greater at 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz, who have shown limited or no benefit from hearing aids.

Cochlear implantation as a treatment for patients with unilateral hearing loss with or without tinnitus is **INVESTIGATIONAL**.

Upgrades of an existing, functioning external system to achieve aesthetic improvement, such as smaller profile components or a switch from a body-worn, external sound processor to a behind-the-ear model, are **NOT MEDICALLY NECESSARY**.

Replacement of internal and/or external components solely for the purpose of upgrading to a system with advanced technology or to a next-generation device is considered **NOT MEDICALLY NECESSARY**.

Replacement of internal and/or external components is considered **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** only in a small subset of members who have inadequate response to existing component(s) to the point of interfering with the individual's activities of daily living, or the component(s) is/are no longer functional and

cannot be repaired. Copies of original medical records must be submitted either hard copy or electronically to support medical necessity.

Cochlear implantation with a hybrid cochlear implant/hearing aid device that includes the hearing aid integrated into the external sound processor of the cochlear implant (eg, the Nucleus® Hybrid™ L24 Cochlear Implant System) may be considered **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** for patients ages 18 years and older who meet all of the following criteria:

- Bilateral severe-to-profound high-frequency sensorineural hearing loss with residual low-frequency hearing sensitivity; **AND**
- Receive limited benefit from appropriately fit bilateral hearing aids; **AND**
- Have the following hearing thresholds:
 - Low-frequency hearing thresholds no poorer than 60 dB hearing level up to and including 500 Hz (averaged over 125, 250, and 500 Hz) in the ear selected for implantation; **AND**
 - Severe to profound mid- to high-frequency hearing loss (threshold average of 2000, 3000, and 4000 Hz ≥75 dB hearing level) in the ear to be implanted; **AND**
 - Moderately severe to profound mid- to high-frequency hearing loss (threshold average of 2000, 3000, and 4000 Hz ≥60 dB hearing level) in the contralateral ear; **AND**
 - Aided consonant-nucleus-consonant word recognition score from 10% to 60% in the ear to be implanted in the preoperative aided condition and in the contralateral ear will be equal to or better than that of the ear to be implanted but not more than 80% correct.

Medicare HMO BlueSM and Medicare PPO BlueSM Members

Medical necessity criteria and coding guidance can be found through the link below.

[National Coverage Determinations \(NCDs\)](#)

National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Cochlear Implantation (50.3)

Note: To review the specific NCD, please remember to click “accept” on the CMS licensing agreement at the bottom of the CMS webpage.

Prior Authorization Information

Inpatient

- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

Outpatient

- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

	Outpatient
Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)	Prior authorization is not required .
Commercial PPO and Indemnity	Prior authorization is not required .
Medicare HMO Blue SM	Prior authorization is not required .
Medicare PPO Blue SM	Prior authorization is not required .

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member’s contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable

The following codes are included below for informational purposes only; this is not an all-inclusive list.

The above **medical necessity criteria MUST** be met for the following codes to be covered for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity:

CPT Codes:

CPT codes:	Code Description
69930	Cochlear device implantation, with or without mastoidectomy

HCPCS Codes

HCPCS codes:	Code Description
L8614	Cochlear device; includes all internal and external components
L8615	Headset/headpiece for use with cochlear implant device, replacement
L8616	Microphone for use with cochlear implant device, replacement
L8617	Transmitting coil for use with cochlear implant device, replacement
L8618	Transmitter cable for use with cochlear implant device or auditory osseointegrated device, replacement
L8619	Cochlear implant, external speech processor and controller, integrated system, replacement
L8627	Cochlear implant, external speech processor, component, replacement
L8628	Cochlear implant, external controller component, replacement
L8629	Transmitting coil and cable, integrated, for use with cochlear implant device, replacement

The following ICD Diagnosis Codes are considered medically necessary when submitted with the CPT and HCPCS codes above if **medical necessity criteria** are met:

ICD-10 Diagnosis Codes

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis codes:	Code Description
H90.3	Sensorineural hearing loss, bilateral
H90.5	Unspecified sensorineural hearing loss

Description

The basic structure of a cochlear implant includes both external and internal components. The external components include a microphone, an external sound processor, and an external transmitter. The internal components are implanted surgically and include an internal receiver implanted within the temporal bone and an electrode array that extends from the receiver into the cochlea through a surgically created opening in the round window of the middle ear.

Sounds picked up by the microphone are carried to the external sound processor, which transforms sound into coded signals that are then transmitted transcutaneously to the implanted internal receiver. The receiver converts the incoming signals into electrical impulses that are then conveyed to the electrode array, ultimately resulting in stimulation of the auditory nerve.

Summary

A cochlear implant is a device for treatment of severe-to-profound hearing loss in individuals who only receive limited benefit from amplification with hearing aids. A cochlear implant provides direct electrical stimulation to the auditory nerve, bypassing the usual transducer cells that are absent or nonfunctional in deaf cochlea.

For individuals who have bilateral sensorineural hearing loss who receive the cochlear implant(s), the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and multiple systematic reviews and technology assessments. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The available studies have reported improvements in speech reception and quality of life measures. Although the available RCTs and other studies measured heterogeneous outcomes and included varying patient populations, the findings are consistent across multiple studies and settings. In addition to consistent improvement in speech reception (especially in noise), studies showed improvements in sound localization with bilateral devices. Studies have also suggested that earlier implantation may be preferred. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have unilateral sensorineural hearing loss who receive the cochlear implant(s), the evidence includes a feasibility study, prospective and retrospective studies reporting within-subjects comparisons, and systematic reviews of observational studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Given the natural history of hearing loss, pre- and post-implantation comparisons may be appropriate for objectively measured outcomes. However, the available evidence for the use of cochlear implants in improving outcomes for patients with unilateral hearing loss, with or without tinnitus, is limited by small sample sizes and heterogeneity in evaluation protocols and outcome measurements. A small feasibility study in adults with single-sided deafness or asymmetric hearing loss demonstrated improvements in sound perception, sound localization, and subjective measures of quality of life compared to baseline conditions. However, studies assessing outcomes compared to best-aided hearing controls across multiple time points are lacking. An ongoing post-marketing study in adults and children may further elucidate outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have a high-frequency sensorineural hearing loss with preserved low-frequency hearing who receive a hybrid cochlear implant that includes a hearing aid integrated into the external sound processor of the cochlear implant, the evidence includes prospective and retrospective studies using single-arm, within-subject comparison pre- and postintervention and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The available evidence has suggested that a hybrid cochlear implant system is associated with improvements in hearing of speech in quiet and noise. The available evidence has also suggested that a hybrid cochlear implant improves speech recognition better than a hearing aid alone. Some studies have suggested that a shorter cochlear implant insertion depth may be associated with preserved residual low-frequency hearing, although there is uncertainty about the potential need for reoperation after hybrid cochlear implantation if there is a loss of residual hearing. Studies reporting on long-term outcomes and results of re-implantation are lacking. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Policy History

Date	Action
9/2020	BCBSA National medical policy review. Policy statements updated to reflect expanded indications in children aged 9 months and older with profound bilateral sensorineural hearing loss. Effective 9/1/2020.
4/2020	BCBSA National medical policy review. Description, summary and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
4/2019	BCBSA National medical policy review. Description, summary and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
3/2018	New references added from BCBSA National medical policy.

1/2018	Clarified coding information.
7/2017	BCBSA National medical policy review. New medically necessary and not medically necessary indications described. Clarified coding information. Effective 7/1/2017.
12/2016	BCBSA National medical policy review. Policy statement changed to indicate that cochlear implantation with a hybrid cochlear implant/hearing aid system is considered medically necessary for patients meeting criteria. References added. Effective 12/1/2016.
7/2015	New references added from BCBSA National medical policy.
12/2014	Correction made to last line of the Summary.
10/2014	New references added from BCBSA National medical policy.
10/2014	BCBSA National medical policy review. New investigational indications described. Coding information clarified. Effective 10/1/2014.
7/2014	Updated Coding section with ICD10 procedure and diagnosis codes, effective 10/2015.
1/2014	Coding information clarified. Updated to add new CPT codes 92521-92524.
12/2013	BCBSA National medical policy review. New investigational indications described. Effective 12/1/2013. Coding information clarified.
5/2013	New references from BCBSA National medical policy.
11/2011-4/2012	Medical policy ICD 10 remediation: Formatting, editing and coding updates. No changes to policy statements.
12/2011	BCBSA National medical policy review. Changes to policy statements.
3/2010	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Allergy and ENT/Otolaryngology. No changes to policy statements.
5/2009	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Pediatrics and Endocrinology. No changes to policy statements.
3/2009	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Allergy and ENT/Otolaryngology. No changes to policy statements.
5/2008	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Pediatrics and Endocrinology. No changes to policy statements.
3/2008	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Allergy and ENT/Otolaryngology. No changes to policy statements.
7/2007	BCBSA National medical policy review. No changes to policy statements.
5/2007	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Pediatrics and Endocrinology. No changes to policy statements.
3/2007	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Allergy and ENT/Otolaryngology. No changes to policy statements.

Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:

[Medical Policy Terms of Use](#)

[Managed Care Guidelines](#)

[Indemnity/PPO Guidelines](#)

[Clinical Exception Process](#)

[Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines](#)

References

1. Food and Drug Administration. Approval Letter: Nucleus Hybrid L24 Cochlear Implant System (P130016). 2014; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf13/P130016a.pdf. Accessed January 14, 2020.
2. Cochlear Implants in Adults and Children. NIH Consens Statement Online. 1995;13(2):1-30.
3. Bond M, Mealing S, Anderson R, et al. The effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of cochlear implants for severe to profound deafness in children and adults: a systematic review and economic model. Health Technol Assess. Sep 2009; 13(44): 1-330. PMID 19799825

4. Gaylor JM, Raman G, Chung M, et al. Cochlear implantation in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* Mar 2013; 139(3): 265-72. PMID 23429927
5. McRackan TR, Bauschard M, Hatch JL, et al. Meta-analysis of quality-of-life improvement after cochlear implantation and associations with speech recognition abilities. *Laryngoscope.* Apr 2018; 128(4): 982-990. PMID 28731538
6. McRackan TR, Bauschard M, Hatch JL, et al. Meta-analysis of Cochlear Implantation Outcomes Evaluated With General Healthrelated Patient-reported Outcome Measures. *Otol Neurotol.* Jan 2018; 39(1): 29-36. PMID 29227446
7. Crathorne L, Bond M, Cooper C, et al. A systematic review of the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of bilateral multichannel cochlear implants in adults with severe-to-profound hearing loss. *Clin Otolaryngol.* Oct 2012; 37(5): 342-54. PMID 22928754
8. Choi JS, Betz J, Li L, et al. Association of Using Hearing Aids or Cochlear Implants With Changes in Depressive Symptoms in Older Adults. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* Jul 01 2016; 142(7): 652-7. PMID 27258813
9. van Zon A, Smulders YE, Ramakers GG, et al. Effect of unilateral and simultaneous bilateral cochlear implantation on tinnitus: A Prospective Study. *Laryngoscope.* Apr 2016; 126(4): 956-61. PMID 26255618
10. Bond M, Elston J, Mealing S, et al. Effectiveness of multi-channel unilateral cochlear implants for profoundly deaf children: a systematic review. *Clin Otolaryngol.* Jun 2009; 34(3): 199-211. PMID 19531168
11. Baron S, Blanchard M, Parodi M, et al. Sequential bilateral cochlear implants in children and adolescents: Outcomes and prognostic factors. *Eur Ann Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Dis.* Apr 2019; 136(2): 69-73. PMID 30314876
12. Food and Drug Administration. Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data (SSED): Nucleus 24 Cochlear Implant System (P970051/S172). 2020; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf/P970051S172B.pdf. Accessed July 2, 2020.
13. Lyu J, Kong Y, Xu TQ, et al. Long-term follow-up of auditory performance and speech perception and effects of age on cochlear implantation in children with pre-lingual deafness. *Chin Med J.* Aug 20 2019; 132(16): 1925-1934. PMID 31365431
14. Karltorp E, Eklof M, Ostlund E, et al. Cochlear implants before 9 months of age led to more natural spoken language development without increased surgical risks. *Acta Paediatr.* Feb 2020; 109(2): 332-341. PMID 31350923
15. Sharma A, Dorman MF. Central auditory development in children with cochlear implants: clinical implications. *Adv Otorhinolaryngol.* 2006; 64: 66-88. PMID 16891837
16. Forli F, Arslan E, Bellelli S, et al. Systematic review of the literature on the clinical effectiveness of the cochlear implant procedure in paediatric patients. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital.* Oct 2011; 31(5): 281-98. PMID 22287820
17. Sterkers F, Merklen F, Piron JP, et al. Outcomes after cochlear reimplantation in children. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* Jun 2015; 79(6): 840-3. PMID 25843784
18. Black J, Hickson L, Black B, et al. Prognostic indicators in paediatric cochlear implant surgery: a systematic literature review. *Cochlear Implants Int.* May 2011; 12(2): 67-93. PMID 21756501
19. Pakdaman MN, Herrmann BS, Curtin HD, et al. Cochlear implantation in children with anomalous cochleovestibular anatomy: a systematic review. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* Feb 2012; 146(2): 180-90. PMID 22140206
20. Fernandes NF, Morettin M, Yamaguti EH, et al. Performance of hearing skills in children with auditory neuropathy spectrum disorder using cochlear implant: a systematic review. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol.* Jan-Feb 2015; 81(1): 85-96. PMID 25458263
21. Vlastarakos PV, Proikas K, Papacharalampous G, et al. Cochlear implantation under the first year of age--the outcomes. A critical systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* Feb 2010; 74(2): 119-26. PMID 19896223
22. Ching TY, Dillon H, Day J, et al. Early language outcomes of children with cochlear implants: interim findings of the NAL study on longitudinal outcomes of children with hearing impairment. *Cochlear Implants Int.* 2009; 10 Suppl 1: 28-32. PMID 19067433
23. Colletti L, Mandala M, Zoccante L, et al. Infants versus older children fitted with cochlear implants: performance over 10 years. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* Apr 2011; 75(4): 504-9. PMID 21277638

24. Guerzoni L, Murri A, Fabrizi E, et al. Social conversational skills development in early implanted children. *Laryngoscope*. Sep 2016; 126(9): 2098-105. PMID 26649815
25. Lammers MJ, van der Heijden GJ, Pourier VE, et al. Bilateral cochlear implantation in children: a systematic review and best evidence synthesis. *Laryngoscope*. Jul 2014; 124(7): 1694-9. PMID 24390811
26. Broomfield SJ, Murphy J, Emmett S, et al. Results of a prospective surgical audit of bilateral paediatric cochlear implantation in the UK. *Cochlear Implants Int*. Nov 2013; 14 Suppl 4: S19-21. PMID 24533758
27. Sarant J, Harris D, Bennet L, et al. Bilateral versus unilateral cochlear implants in children: a study of spoken language outcomes. *Ear Hear*. Jul-Aug 2014; 35(4): 396-409. PMID 24557003
28. Escorihuela Garcia V, Pitarch Ribas MI, Llopez Carratala I, et al. Comparative study between unilateral and bilateral cochlear implantation in children of 1 and 2 years of age. *Acta Otorrinolaringol Esp*. May-Jun 2016; 67(3): 148-55. PMID 26632253
29. Friedmann DR, Green J, Fang Y, et al. Sequential bilateral cochlear implantation in the adolescent population. *Laryngoscope*. Aug 2015; 125(8): 1952-8. PMID 25946482
30. Illg A, Giourgas A, Kral A, et al. Speech comprehension in children and adolescents after sequential bilateral cochlear implantation with long interimplant interval. *Otol Neurotol*. Jun 2013; 34(4): 682-9. PMID 23640090
31. van Zon A, Peters JP, Stegeman I, et al. Cochlear implantation for patients with single-sided deafness or asymmetrical hearing loss: a systematic review of the evidence. *Otol Neurotol*. Feb 2015; 36(2): 209-19. PMID 25502451
32. Buss E, Dillon MT, Rooth MA, et al. Effects of Cochlear Implantation on Binaural Hearing in Adults With Unilateral Hearing Loss. *Trends Hear*. Jan-Dec 2018; 22: 2331216518771173. PMID 29732951
33. Dillon MT, Buss E, O'Connell BP, et al. Low-Frequency Hearing Preservation With Long Electrode Arrays: Inclusion of Unaided Hearing Threshold Assessment in the Postoperative Test Battery. *Am J Audiol*. Mar 05 2020; 29(1): 1-5. PMID 31835906
34. Galvin JJ, Fu QJ, Wilkinson EP, et al. Benefits of Cochlear Implantation for Single-Sided Deafness: Data From the House Clinic-University of Southern California-University of California, Los Angeles Clinical Trial. *Ear Hear*. Jul/Aug 2019; 40(4): 766-781. PMID 30358655
35. Peter N, Kleinjung T, Probst R, et al. Cochlear implants in single-sided deafness - clinical results of a Swiss multicentre study. *Swiss Med Wkly*. Dec 16 2019; 149: w20171. PMID 31880806
36. Poncet-Wallet C, Mamelle E, Godey B, et al. Prospective Multicentric Follow-up Study of Cochlear Implantation in Adults With Single-Sided Deafness: Tinnitus and Audiological Outcomes. *Otol Neurotol*. Dec 20 2019. PMID 31868784
37. Food and Drug Administration. Approval Letter: MED-EL Cochlear Implant System (P000025/S104). 2019; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf/P000025S104A.pdf. Accessed January 14, 2020.
38. Food and Drug Administration. Post-Approval Studies (PAS): MED-EL New Enrollment SSD/AHL Study. 2020; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma_pas.cfm?t_id=647845&c_id=5585. Accessed on January 20, 2020.
39. Food and Drug Administration. Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data (SSED): MED-EL Cochlear Implant System (P000025/S104). 2019; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf/P000025S104B.pdf. Accessed January 14, 2020.
40. Mertens G, De Bodt M, Van de Heyning P. Cochlear implantation as a long-term treatment for ipsilateral incapacitating tinnitus in subjects with unilateral hearing loss up to 10 years. *Hear Res*. Jan 2016; 331: 1-6. PMID 26433053
41. Rahne T, Plontke SK. Functional Result After Cochlear Implantation in Children and Adults with Single-sided Deafness. *Otol Neurotol*. Oct 2016; 37(9): e332-40. PMID 27631656
42. Vlastarakos PV, Nazos K, Tavoulari EF, et al. Cochlear implantation for single-sided deafness: the outcomes. An evidence-based approach. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. Aug 2014; 271(8): 2119-26. PMID 24096818
43. Ramos Macias A, Falcon Gonzalez JC, Manrique M, et al. Cochlear implants as a treatment option for unilateral hearing loss, severe tinnitus and hyperacusis. *Audiol Neurootol*. 2015; 20 Suppl 1: 60-6. PMID 25997672

44. Tavora-Vieira D, Marino R, Krishnaswamy J, et al. Cochlear implantation for unilateral deafness with and without tinnitus: a case series. *Laryngoscope*. May 2013; 123(5): 1251-5. PMID 23553411
45. Pillsbury HC, Dillon MT, Buchman CA, et al. Multicenter US Clinical Trial With an Electric-Acoustic Stimulation (EAS) System in Adults: Final Outcomes. *Otol Neurotol*. Mar 2018; 39(3): 299-305. PMID 29342054
46. Roland JT, Gantz BJ, Waltzman SB, et al. United States multicenter clinical trial of the cochlear nucleus hybrid implant system. *Laryngoscope*. Jan 2016; 126(1): 175-81. PMID 26152811
47. Roland JT, Gantz BJ, Waltzman SB, et al. Long-term outcomes of cochlear implantation in patients with high-frequency hearing loss. *Laryngoscope*. Aug 2018; 128(8): 1939-1945. PMID 29330858
48. Lenarz T, James C, Cuda D, et al. European multi-centre study of the Nucleus Hybrid L24 cochlear implant. *Int J Audiol*. Dec 2013; 52(12): 838-48. PMID 23992489
49. Santa Maria PL, Gluth MB, Yuan Y, et al. Hearing preservation surgery for cochlear implantation: a meta-analysis. *Otol Neurotol*. Dec 2014; 35(10): e256-69. PMID 25233333
50. Causon A, Verschuur C, Newman TA. A Retrospective Analysis of the Contribution of Reported Factors in Cochlear Implantation on Hearing Preservation Outcomes. *Otol Neurotol*. Aug 2015; 36(7): 1137-45. PMID 25853614
51. Gantz BJ, Dunn C, Oleson J, et al. Multicenter clinical trial of the Nucleus Hybrid S8 cochlear implant: Final outcomes. *Laryngoscope*. Apr 2016; 126(4): 962-73. PMID 26756395
52. American Academy of Otolaryngology -- Head and Neck Surgery. Position Statement: Cochlear Implants. 2014; <http://www.entnet.org/Practice/policyCochlearImplants.cfm>. Accessed January 20, 2020.
53. Raman G, Lee J, Chung MG, et al. Technology Assessment Report: Effectiveness of Cochlear Implants in Adults with Sensorineural Hearing Loss Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2011.
54. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Cochlear Implants for Children and Adults with Severe to Profound Deafness [TA566]. 2019; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta566/>. Accessed January 20, 2020.
55. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid. Cochlear Implantation. 2013; <https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Coverage/Coverage-with-Evidence-Development/Cochlear-Implantation-.html>. Accessed January 20, 2020.