



Medical Policy

Rhinomanometry and Acoustic/Optical Rhinometry

Table of Contents

- [Policy: Commercial](#)
- [Policy: Medicare](#)
- [Authorization Information](#)
- [Coding Information](#)
- [Description](#)
- [Policy History](#)
- [Information Pertaining to All Policies](#)
- [References](#)

Policy Number: 586

BCBSA Reference Number: 2.01.08A

Related Policies

None

Policy

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity Medicare HMO BlueSM and Medicare PPO BlueSM Members

Rhinomanometry and acoustic/optical rhinometry are **INVESTIGATIONAL**.

Prior Authorization Information

Pre-service approval is required for all inpatient services for all products.

See below for situations where prior authorization may be required or may not be required for outpatient services.

Yes indicates that prior authorization is required.

No indicates that prior authorization is not required.

N/A indicates that this service is primarily performed in an inpatient setting.

	Outpatient
Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)	This is not a covered service.
Commercial PPO and Indemnity	This is not a covered service.
Medicare HMO BlueSM	This is not a covered service.
Medicare PPO BlueSM	This is not a covered service.

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD-9 Codes

The following codes are included below for informational purposes. Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

CPT Codes

CPT codes:	Code Description
92512	Nasal function studies (eg, rhinomanometry)

Description

Rhinomanometry, acoustic rhinometry and optical rhinometry are techniques to objectively measure nasal patency. Several clinical applications are proposed including allergy testing, evaluation of obstructive sleep apnea and patient assessment prior to nasal surgery.

Nasal patency is a complex clinical issue that can involve mucosal, structural and psychological factors. The perception of nasal obstruction is subjective and does not always correlate with clinical examination of the nasal cavity, making it difficult to determine which therapy might be most likely to restore satisfactory nasal breathing. Therefore, procedures that objectively measure nasal patency have been sought. Three techniques that could potentially be useful in measuring nasal patency are as follows:

1. Rhinomanometry is a test of nasal function that measures air pressure and the rate of airflow in the nasal airway during respiration. These findings are used to calculate nasal airway resistance. Rhinomanometry is intended to be an objective quantification of nasal airway patency,
2. Acoustic rhinometry is a technique intended for assessment of the geometry of the nasal cavity and nasopharynx and for evaluating nasal obstruction. The technique is based on an analysis of sound waves reflected from the nasal cavities, and
3. Optical rhinometry uses an emitter and a detector placed at opposite sides of the nose and can detect relative changes in nasal congestion by the change in transmitted light. This technique is based on the absorption of red/near-infrared light by hemoglobin and the endonasal swelling-associated increase in local blood volume.

Examples of rhinomanometers or acoustic rhinometers to measure nasal patency include device/test/procedure names. All rhinomanometers or acoustic rhinometers to measure nasal patency are considered investigational regardless of the commercial name, the manufacturer or FDA approval status.

Summary

Overall, the scientific evidence does not permit conclusions about the effect of rhinomanometry, acoustic rhinometry or optical rhinometry on net health outcome. To date, no studies have been published that evaluate the clinical utility of these tests. That is, none of the studies identified have prospectively compared patient outcomes with and without the use of one or more of these tests for any clinical condition. Therefore, the technologies are considered investigational.

Policy History

Date	Action
11/2011-4/2012	Medical policy ICD 10 remediation: Formatting, editing and coding updates. No changes to policy statements.
12/2011	BCBSA National medical policy review. No changes to policy statements.
3/2011	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Allergy and ENT/Otolaryngology. No changes to policy statements.
6/2010	BCBSA National medical policy review. No changes to policy statements.
3/2010	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Allergy and ENT/Otolaryngology. No changes to policy statements.
3/2009	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Allergy and ENT/Otolaryngology. No changes to policy statements.
9/2008	BCBSA National medical policy review. No changes to policy statements.
3/2008	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Allergy and ENT/Otolaryngology.

	No changes to policy statements.
3/2007	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Allergy and ENT/Otolaryngology. No changes to policy statements.
4/2007	BCBSA National medical policy review. No changes to policy statements.

Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:

[Medical Policy Terms of Use](#)

[Managed Care Guidelines](#)

[Indemnity/PPO Guidelines](#)

[Clinical Exception Process](#)

[Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines](#)

References

- Schumacher MJ. Nasal congestion and airway obstruction: the validity of available objective and subjective measures. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 2002; 2(3):245-51.
- Larivee Y, Leon Z, Salas-Prato M et al. Evaluation of the nasal response to histamine provocation with acoustic rhinometry. *J Otolaryngol* 2001; 30(6):319-23.
- Wilson AM, Sims EJ, Orr LC et al. Effects of topical corticosteroid and combined mediator blockade on domiciliary and laboratory measurement of nasal function in seasonal allergic rhinitis. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2001; 87(4):344-9.
- Ellegard EK, Hellgren M, Karlsson NG. Fluticasone propionate aqueous nasal spray in pregnancy rhinitis. *Clin Otolaryngol* 2001; 26(5):394-400.
- Rhee CS, Kim DY, Won TB et al. Changes of nasal function after temperature-controlled radiofrequency tissue volume reduction for the turbinate. *Laryngoscope* 2001; 111(1):153-8.
- Suzina AH, Hamzah M, Samsudin AR. Objective assessment of nasal resistance in patients with nasal disease. *J Laryngol Otol* 2003; 117(8):609-13.
- Numminen J, Dastidar P, Heinonen T et al. Reliability of acoustic rhinometry. *Respir Med* 2003; 97(4):421-7.
- Mamikoglu B, Houser SM, Corey JP. An interpretation method for objective assessment of nasal congestion with acoustic rhinometry. *Laryngoscope* 2002; 112(5):926-9.
- Ceroni Compadretti G, Tasca I, Alessandri-Bonetti G et al. Acoustic rhinometric measurements in children undergoing rapid maxillary expansion. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 2005; 70(1):27-34.
- Ciprandi G, Marseglia GL, Klersy C et al. Relationships between allergic inflammation and nasal airflow in children with persistent allergic rhinitis due to mite sensitization. *Allergy* 2005; 60(7):957-60.
- Nathan RA, Eccles R, Howarth PH et al. Objective monitoring of nasal patency and nasal physiology in rhinitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005; 115(3 pt 2):S442-59.
- Wüstenberg EG, Zahnert T, Hüttenbrink KB et al. Comparison of optical rhinometry and active anterior rhinomanometry using nasal provocation testing. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2007; 133(4):344-9.
- Andre RF, Vuyk HD, Ahmed A et al. Correlation between subjective and objective evaluation of the nasal airway. A systematic review of the highest level of evidence. *Clin Otolaryngol* 2009; 34(6):518-25.
- Canakcioglu S, Tahamiler R, Saritzali G et al. Nasal patency by rhinomanometry in patients with sensation of nasal obstruction. *Am J Rhinol Allergy* 2009; 23(3):300-2.
- Pirila T, Tikanto J. Acoustic rhinometry and rhinomanometry in the preoperative screening of septal surgery patients. *Am J Rhinol Allergy* 2009; 23(6): 605-9.