Medical Policy

Artificial Intervertebral Disc: Lumbar Spine
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Policy Number: 592
BCBSA Reference Number: 7.01.87
NCD/LCD: National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Lumbar Artificial Disc Replacement (LADR) (150.10)

Related Policies
• Artificial Intervertebral Disc: Cervical Spine, #585

Policy

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity

Artificial intervertebral discs of the lumbar spine are considered INVESTIGATIONAL.

Medicare HMO BlueSM and Medicare PPO BlueSM Members

Medical necessity criteria and coding guidance can be found through the link(s) below.

National Coverage Determinations (NCDs)

National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Lumbar Artificial Disc Replacement (LADR) (150.10)

Note: To review the specific NCD, please remember to click “accept” on the CMS licensing agreement at the bottom of the CMS webpage.

Prior Authorization Information

Inpatient
• For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization IS REQUIRED for all products if the procedure is performed inpatient.

Outpatient
• For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization might be required if the procedure is performed outpatient.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Type</th>
<th>Outpatient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)</td>
<td>This is not a covered service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial PPO and Indemnity</td>
<td>This is not a covered service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare HMO Blue℠</td>
<td>This is not a covered service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare PPO Blue℠</td>
<td>This is not a covered service.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member’s contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

The following codes are included below for informational purposes only; this is not an all-inclusive list.

#### CPT Codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPT codes:</th>
<th>Code Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22857</td>
<td>Total disc arthroplasty (artificial disc), anterior approach, including discectomy to prepare interspace (other than for decompression), single interspace, lumbar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22862</td>
<td>Revision including replacement of total disc arthroplasty (artificial disc), anterior approach, single interspace; lumbar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0163T</td>
<td>Total disc arthroplasty (artificial disc), anterior approach, including discectomy to prepare interspace (other than for decompression), each additional interspace, lumbar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0164T</td>
<td>Removal of total disc arthroplasty (artificial disc), anterior approach, each additional interspace, lumbar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0165T</td>
<td>Revision including replacement of total disc arthroplasty, anterior approach, each additional interspace, lumbar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Description

The most frequent cause of back pain requiring surgery, degenerative disc disease is common with age or trauma. Spine imaging, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography, or plain radiography, shows that lumbar disc degeneration is widespread but for most people does not cause symptoms. Potential candidates for artificial disc replacement have chronic low back pain attributed to degenerative disc disease, lack of improvement with nonoperative treatment, and none of the contraindications for the procedure, which include multilevel disease, spinal stenosis, spondylolisthesis, scoliosis, previous major spine surgery, neurologic symptoms, and other minor contraindications. Patients who require procedures in addition to fusion (e.g., laminectomy, decompression) are not candidates for the artificial disc.

When conservative treatment of degenerative disc disease fails, a common surgical approach is spinal fusion. More than 200,000 spinal fusions are performed each year. However, outcomes with spinal fusion have been controversial, in part due to the difficulty in determining if a patient's back pain is related to degenerative disc disease and in part due to the success of the procedure itself. Also, spinal fusion alters the spine biomechanics, potentially leading to premature disc degeneration at adjacent levels, a particular concern for younger patients. During the past 30 years, various artificial intervertebral discs have been investigated as an alternative approach to fusion. This approach, also referred to as total disc replacement or spinal arthroplasty, is intended to maintain motion at the operative level once the damaged disc has been removed and normal biomechanics of the adjacent vertebrae.

Use of a motion-preserving artificial disc increases the potential for various types of implant failure. They include device failure (device fracture, dislocation, or wear), bone-implant interface failure (subsidence,
dislocation-migration, vertebral body fracture), and host response to the implant (osteolysis, heterotopic ossification, pseudotumor formation).

Summary
Total disc replacement, using an artificial intervertebral disc designed for the lumbar spine, is proposed as an alternative to spinal fusion in patients with degenerative disc disease leading to disabling symptoms.

For individuals who have lumbar degenerative disc disease who receive a lumbar artificial intervertebral disc, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of artificial discs vs fusion with 5-year outcomes and case series with longer term outcomes. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Five-year outcomes for the ProDisc®-L RCT have provided evidence for the noninferiority of artificial disc replacement compared to spinal fusion. The superiority of ProDisc®-L with circumferential fusion was achieved at 2 but not at 5 years in this unblinded trial. The potential benefits of the artificial disc (eg, faster recovery, reduced adjacent-level disc degeneration) have not been demonstrated. Also, considerable uncertainty remains whether response rates will continue to decline over longer time periods and long-term complications with these implants will emerge. Although some randomized trials have concluded that this technology is noninferior to spinal fusion, outcomes that would make noninferiority sufficient to demonstrate the clinical benefit of the artificial lumbar disc have not been established. No RCTs compared activL® to spinal fusion or conservative care. RCTs were limited by a lack of blinding, insufficient follow-up to evaluate potential harms, and lack of comparison to the criterion standard for treatment of degenerative disc disease. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Policy History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/2017</td>
<td>BCBSA National medical policy review. Discussion of artificial discs not available in the United States was removed. Policy statement unchanged. 6/1/2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/2016</td>
<td>New references added from BCBSA National medical policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/2015</td>
<td>New references added from BCBSA National medical policy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies
Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:
Medical Policy Terms of Use
Managed Care Guidelines
Indemnity/PPO Guidelines
Clinical Exception Process
Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines
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